

EWELL P. WALTHER, JR. 11932 19901

SAUL STONE
PAUL O. H. PIGMAN
PHILLIP A. WITHMANN
CAMPBELL C. HUTCHINSON\*
WILLIAM O. TRECON\*
HIRSCHEL T. ABBOTT, JR.\*
MICHAEL R. FONTHAM
ANTHONY M. DILEO\*
AVANE J. LE STONE
ZHING N. SHINN\*
JAMES C. GULOTTA, JR. PAUL L. ZIMMEPING STEPHEN H. KUPPERMAN' STEPHEN G. BULLOCK

STEVEN W. USDIN' SUSAN G. TALLEY NOEL J. DAPCE C. LAWPENCE OPLANSKY" RICHARD C. STANLEY CALVIN P. BPASSEAUX\* MICHAEL P. SCHNEIDER' SCOTT T. WHITTAKER DENISE M. PILIE' BAPRY W. ASHE\* GEORGE C. FREEMAN, E JOSEPH L. CAVERLY

GECILIA C. WOODLEY"

MARC D. WINSBERG

546 CARONDELET STREET NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130-3588 FAX (504) 581-3361

(504) 581-3200

CITY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING 445 NOPTH BOULEVAPD, SUITE 640 BATON ROUGE, LA 70802 (504) 379-7400 FAX (804) 379-7410

June 26, 1997

MICHAEL O. LANDRY MARY L. DUMESTPE" ROBERT E. HARPINGTON" KAREN H. FREESE\* JOHN P. CERISE" PAUL J. MASINTER THOMAS M. FLANAGAN

DOPOTHY HUDSON WIMBERLY RACHEL WENDT WISDOM WARREN M. FARIS RICHARD E. SARVER MARY MODENBACH WHITE ANGELA J. CROWDER

VICTORIA M. DE LISLE JOHN MICHAEL HAPLOW STEPHANIE D. SHULER KELLY MONEIL LEGIER KELLY MINEL LEGICH
C. JOYCE HALL
ANNE V. WINTER
SUZANNE M. CIACCIO
BRYAN C. REUTER KELLY LANNING TUPNER MICHAEL O. WALSHE, JR MICHELLE L. CHAUVIN MICHELLE L. CHAUVIN
JOELLE FLANNIGAN EVANS
H. MINOR PIPES, III
LLOYO L. ORURY, III
KEITH B. HALL
PATRICK S. MCGOEY
LEON J. PEYMOND, III

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

- A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

KYLE SCHONEKAS

WRITER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS bcr@stonepigman.com

(504) 593-0908

58.972

Michael L. Eckstein Michael L. Eckstein, Attorney at Law 1515 Povdras Street Suite 2195 New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

Ms. Miriam Hill Axxon Corporation 101 West Robert E. Lee New Orleans, Louisiana 70124

Re: Axonn Corporation v. David Newman. Eastern District of New Orleans, No. 95-0796

Dear Mike and Miriam:

Enclosed is the transcript of Eckhard Kuesters' deposition on June 20, 1997. As you know, the deposition was not completed and will be re-noticed for a later date.

With kind regards, I am,

Sincerely.

BCR/jeb Enclosure

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

274083/1

BLO SECULATION

imbirof letima re

FidA La

# In The Matter Of:

: AXONN CORPORATION v.
DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

ECKHARD KUESTERS
June 20, 1997
CONFIDENTIAL

BLOCK COURT REPORTING
THE HIGH-TECH LEADER IN REPORTING SERVICES
Woodward Building
733 Fifteenth Street, Northwest - Suite 420
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 638-1313 FAX: (202) 638-3740

Original File 13821.PRT, 100 Pages Min-U-Script® File ID: 1470655497

Word Index included with this Min-U-Script®

EPORTING

A: I at a emberof he firm. pe a'e

Politice new Court Riporting 1 10 50

Pri

# AXONN CORPORATION Best Available CopyCONFIDENTIAL DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

Page 2

Page 3

## ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

Page 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA ..... K CONFIDENTIAL AXONN CORPORATION,

Plaintiff Case Number: 95-0796 DAVID NEWMAN AND DAVIO NEWMAN & ASSOCIATES.

P.C. AND ABC INSURANCE CO., : Defendants. PAGES 1 - 100 Deposition of ECKHARD KUESTERS, held at the offices of

Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neusladl, P.C., 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia. nencing at 9.02 a.m., Friday, June 20, 1997, before KAREN YOUNG, Notary Public

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL FOR AXONN CORPORATION

STONE, PIGMAN, WALTHER, WITTMANN & HUTCHINSON, L.L.P. BY: STEVEN W USDIN, ESQUIRE BRYANC REUTER, ESQUIRE 546 Caronderni Street

New Orleans, Louisiana 70130-3588 (504) 581-3200 FOR ECKHARD KUESTERS and OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND. MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

OBLON. SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. BY: STEVENE LIPMAN, ESOUIRE Fourth Floor 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, Virginia 22202

(703) 413-3000 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: MCGLINCHEY STAFFORD LANG BY KATHLEEN A. MANNING, ESQUIRE HENRI WCLBRETTE, III. E SOUIRE

643 Magazine Stree New Orleans, LA 70130 (504) 596-2726

CONTENTS WITNESS: ECKHARD KUESTERS EXAMINATION BY Mr. Wolbrette .. DEPOSITION EXHIBITS

ECKHARO KUESTERS NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED Bills .... 33 Bill of 4/28/95 . 33 3 Declaration of Donard L. Schilling, Ph.O. ... 93

Page 4 111 ECKHARD KUESTERS, 121 having been first duly sworn by 131 Karen Young, a, Notary Public (4) within and for the Commonwealth of [s] Virginia, was ex-

amined and testified as [6] follows: 181 EXAMINATION CONDUCTED

191 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1101 Q: Would you state your name please?

1111 A: Eckhard Kuesters.

1121 Q: And where do you reside. Mr. Kuesters? [13] A: Florence Road in Woodbine.

Maryland, 3145 [14] Florence Road, Woodbine, Maryland,

1151 Q: You are an attorney; is that correct?

[16] A: That's correct.

(17) Q: And you practice with the firm of Oblon, (18) Spivak? Did I pronounce it right? at s A. Fina A: Oblon, Spivak, et al., PC

PIESENTAN OCK COURT REPORTINGS CTIPES

(20) Q: In Arlington, Virginia?

1211 A: Correct.

1221 Q: And do you have a specialty?

Page 5 (i) A: Patent law.

121 Q: And could you -

131 A: Intellectual property law. [4] Q: Are you a member of the patent law bar?

151 A: Yes, I am.

[6] Q: Could you give us a very brief background, [7] your education, law school?

[8] MR. USDIN: Before we start, just to get 191 the formalities out of the way, this deposition will (10) be taken subject to the provisions of the [11] confidentiality order under the current documents. [12] All documents being provided are being provided (13) subject to the provision of that confidentiality order [14] will be considered confidential. We will need those [15] produced in accordance with that. Anything that's not [16] marked needs to be marked, and if it was inadvertently [17] not marked, it still needs to be marked.

[18] MR. WOLBRETTE: No problem.

1191 MR. LIPMAN: As long as we've interrupted 1201 the flow of your questioning, let me just say that as [21] you know, we discussed off the record, I'm here [22] representing the witness himself and the law firm for

Page 6

(i) which he's employed. 121 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

131 Q: And Mr. Kuesters, I'd asked you just to |4| give me a brief resume."

151 A: Well, I graduated from Rutgers, the state (6) university, New Brunswick, New Jersey, Rutgers (7) College, in 1968, B.S. in electrical engineering. I [8] thereafter went to work for Westinghouse Electric 191 Corporation, worked for Westinghouse for seven years 1101 as a design engineer, starting as an associate [11] engineer and finishing as a senior engincer.

[12] Four years into my time at Westinghouse, I [13] began law school at night at the University of [14] Maryland School of Law in Baltimore, Maryland and [15] finished up law school in January of 1977 is the [16] graduation date. In August of 1976, I left [17] Westingliouse and joined the firm of Oblon, Spivak, [18] Then it was called Oblon, Fisher et al...

1191 Q: And are you a partner or the equivalent of 1201 the structure of this -firm2+ But A Tham member of the firm. The title as 1221 partner — it's a title.

Page 7 [1] Q: I understand. And how long have you been (2) either a partner or a member of the firm?

(3) A: Since 1981

[4] Q: You have the right to read and sign the 151 deposition. Do you want to do

[6] MR. LIPMAN: Yes, you do. 171 THE WITNESS: Yes, 1 do.

IS BY MR. WOLBRETTE: 9 Q: Mr. Kuesters, it appears to me that you [10] have not had much experience in depositions before; is (11) that correct?

1121 A: That is very correct. 1131 Q: You're not - or are you involved in [14] litigation?

1151 A: Seldom. If so, only in the support [16] capacity.

1171 Q: Well, I know that you have an excellent [18] attorney next to you who's representing you, and I 1191 know that the fellows representing Axonn are excellent [20] attorneys, and I suspect some of them may have (21) explained to you how this works, but very briefly, 11221 ask you questions. If somebody feels that the

Page 8 (1) question is improper for some reason,

they will 121 object. If that happens, let them get their objection 131 in before you answer. You may be told then to answer 14) or not to answer, as the case may be. And if you're is told to answer, go ahead and answer. [6] If you don't understand anything I say — 17) and that's quite possible because I am not a patent is lawyer, not do I have any kind of technical 191 background. Please tell me if I say something (10) nonsensical, which is quite possible, or get the terms

(iii) confused, please let me know and I

may ask you to help [12] me get those

things right. The idea is we need to just

communicate. And if I don't understand what you've 1101 said, I'll ask you. [15] A: Okay. [16] Q: Were you served with a subpoena in this [17] case?

1181 A: Yes, I was,

119] Q: And do you have a return to make on that 1201 subpoena? Before we get to that, we were informed (2) ( before we set this thing up that you were not [22] available after 12 p.m. or noon today, and I've been

Page 9

\*\*\*S

(i) told since I got here that you may need to leave at 121 11; is that correct?

31 A: That's correct. (4) G. Balters Mad T want to accomthis at 11:00

1111 A: I'm agreeable.

we [13] will or not. We'll see.

modate you. As [5] another lawyer, I hope

you understand we've got a 161 lawsuit

here and we've got deadlines imposed

by a 171 federal judge. As long as you are

agreeable that 181 you'll make yourself

available, say, sometime within 191 the

next 30 days at your convenience, I don't

have any no problem at calling a stop to

[12] Q: If we need to go on. I don't know if

1141 MR. USDIN: And I think we talked

about and (is) that we agreed if we do

need to continue with the (16) depos-

1171 MR. LIPMAN: Steve, we will try to do

that (18) The only problem I have with

that is if we've got a pollot of documents, sometimes it's been my experience [20]

it's very awkward. Believe me, I have no

desire to [21] come up here. As much as I

ike Washington, it's 1221 about the worst

iii summer. So if it is able, we can do that.

but it's 121 conceivable that we might

have to - it might be just 131 easier to do it. So I think we'll leave that option 141

open, but I will make every effor, if we

have to come is back to do it by

telephone. We don't want to 161 incon-

venience you or the witness 171 MR.

USDIN: We will reserve our right to 181

of MR. USDIN: And my understanding

was that mi - well, you knew in

idvance that it was going to be [12]

inished by noon and we're not ob-

ecting to keeping it [13] open, but we

want to do it by phone unless there's 1141 some compelling reason not to.

151 MR. WOLBRETTE: Junderstand your position, 1161 We're just reserving our

181 Q: I'd asked you about the subpoena.

Have you [19] produced some documents

his morning in response to 1201 that

II MR. USDIN: This is the only copy, and

21 copies need to be made, and these

ieed to be marked -isi well, they're

221 Q: May I see the documents?

object to coming back.

rights to do otherwise.

·ubpoena?

ith us.

21) A: Yes, I have.

narked as confidential

BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

17) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

of MR. WOLBRETTE: Sure.

place outside of New Orleans in the

ition, that it could be done by phone.

# CONFIDENTIAL

AXONN CORPORATION V. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

181 MR. LIPMAN: Why don't you take it one at a 191 time? I'm not sure the witness even knows what [10] "redacted" means. IIII BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[12] Q: Okay. What I'm trying to find out is we [13] sent you a request, a fairly broad request for various [14] categories of things, and I'm just trying to find out [15] if there were things that were felt to be within those [16] categories but that for some reason, privilege or pri otherwise. were not included in what was produced to payers. . .

109 A: Well, generally I tried to produce 1201 everything you requested. Where there was material (2)) covered by a privilege, that was blacked out, [22] Q: All right.

Page 12

III A: Does that answer your question? 121 Q: So there will be items - we're going to (3) let other counsel make a statement, but there are some (4) items that are either in this production, pieces of (5) paper that are in this box that have blacked out areas (6) on them. That's what I would call a reduction.

171 A: Üh-huh.

Page 10

Page 11

(8) Q: Are there any documents, total whole is documents that have not been produced because the not entire document was felt to be privileged or for some (ii) other reason not produceable? 1121 A: No.

131 MR. USDIN: Can I consult with him a minute (14) and make sure?

1151 MR. WOLBRETTE: Sure. We're all just [16] interested in getting an accurate description of 1171 these.

(18) THE WITNESS Oh, my com-munications with (19) the client, Axonn are not being provided:

1201 BY MR. WCLSRETTE: 1211 Q: So any document that would in some way be a 1221 communication between you and Axonn has not been

Page 13

(ii) included in the production?

121 A: Correct

(3) Q: And that would be either Axonn to you or |4| you to Axonn? 151 A: Correct.

[6] Q: What about if you had telephone [7] conversations with Axonn or Axonn's counsel and if you [8] made notes of that

or a memorandum or something of 191 that nature based on -

(10) A: That would not be included.

(11) Q: And there are such things?

more [14] precise on that.

[15] MR. LIPMAN: I was going to object that [16] there was no foundation, but you can take it any way [17] you want.

1181 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[19] Q. You're absolutely correct, Counsel. I (20) noticed in - a production has previously been made of [21] your bills to me and I'm going to ask you about those, 1221 but there were references in the bills

[1] conversations with Mr. Eckstein, Mr. Fant, (2) Mr. Sanderford, Mr. Newman and others. Is it your is practice to make notes or a meniorandum or in some way m record in writing the substance of those is conversations?

isi A: Not generally.

171 Q: Do you know if you did at any time in any 181 of these conversations that you had involving this 191 case?

1101 A: I do not recall.

(111 Q: So sitting here now, it's your - is it (12) your testimony that there probably are no such written [13] recordations of those telephone conversations that you (14) had with either your client or with Mr. Newman?

1151 A: That's correct.

1161 Q: So therefore, there wouldn't be anything to [17] withhold?

itsi A: That's correct.

1194 C: And when I say "client," I'm speaking of [20] Axonn. That was your client, correct?

(21) A: That's correct.

122; Q: And you spoke to a number of people who

Page 15

in have some capacity with respect to Axonn.

(2) A: That's correct

131 Q: As I said, it would include Sanderford and (4) Fant and Eckstein; is that correct?

151 A: Yes.

Kathy Dixon.

161 Q: And was there anybody else that you spoke [7] with?

(8) A: I can't say with certainty. I gan 191 speculate. The likelihood would be

(10) Q: What was her position?

(11) A: I think she's basically Mr. Sanderford's [12] secretary.

1131 Q: Was Erin Pierce one that you ever spoke to?

[14] A: Not that I recall.

si Q: Have any documents been renoved from what's 161 been produced or 

1171 Q: Okay. Have you had any communication with 1181 counsel for Axonn in the suit that brings us here, 1191 that is, the Stone, Pigman firm or their predecessor, 1201 what it - Robert Crutcher? 1211 MR. USDIN: There were two firms that 1221 Mr. Crutcher was with,

Page 16

m A: When?

(2) Q: At any time. 131 A: Yes.

141 Q: And did you make any written records of 151 your discussions with them?

161 A: No

171 MR. LIPMAN: Mr. Küesters, I just ask you is to hesitate a moment before you answer the questions.

191 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1101 Q: Yeah, I should have told you that. iiii Everybody does that because in ordinary conversation, [12] that's how we behave, but it's difficult for the court [13] reporter to take us down, although they're incredible, [14] - their ability to

'o that, but it's better if we use don't speak at the same time.

(16) A: I apologize,

[17] Q: Everybody does it and the lawyers do it [18] too. I was asking you, did you make any written [19] record of any conversations, whether telephone [20] conversation or in-person conversations, that you may 1211 have had with Axonn's outside counsel in this [22] litigation?

Page 17

111 A: No [2] Q: Thank you. You also I think spoke

with me Bland Ms. Manning concerning the revival attempt. |4| A: I believe that's true.

151 Q: Did you make any written record of our (6) conversations?

171 A: Not that I recall.

(8) Q: Did you include in this box that [9] Ms. Manning is now looking at your bills?

HOLA: Yes.

at as a

111 Q: I'm sure we're going to take a break, and [12] what I'm probably going to ask you to do at a break is [13] to look at the bills that I've got that have been [14] previously produced to me and compare them with what [15] you've got there. I just want to make sure I have a 1161 complete set of everything. And can you tell me how [17] you kept the bills in this case? In other words, did [18] you try were you segregating out the charges 1191 dealing with the revival effort, were you segregating (20) that particular patent as opposed to other work that 1211 you were doing, or was there just one general bill for 1221 Axonn?

Page 18 [1] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form and [2] foundation. Only answer to the extent

you personally 131 have knowledge as opposed to what the firm may have [4] 151 Q: Would it help to look at these? I'm just 161 trying to find out -

171 A: Yes, it would help to look at the bills.(8) Let me tell you where I'm coming from. A claim is 191 being made for your fees in this case, so I just want 1101 to make sure that the fees that we're talking about (iii) are fees that are associated with w' at's going on in [12] this case and not something else.

1141 Q: So I'm just trying to find out, look at [15] your bills, if you could tell us how the bookkeeping [16] structure was set up, if you know.

1171 A: What exactly is the question?

[18] Q: The question is was the billing in [19] connection with the abandoned patent - if I say that, [20] you'll know what I'm talking about?

1211 A: Yes.

1221 Q: As far as I know, there's only one

111 abandoned patent. Do you know of any more than one? [2] Do you know of any other patent that was abandoned? (3) A: This is the only one that I worked

141 Q: Right. Were the bills for the one that you is worked on, the abandoned patent - were those bills in 161 the way that the bills were sent out, the bookkeeping a method - were those the fees that you charged for 181 your efforts segregated from any other work that you 191 may have been doing for Axonn?

[10] A: At the time, I was doing no other work for mu Axonu.

1121 Q: Since the application was revived, have you 1131 done other work for Axonn?

1141 A: Yes

1151 Q: And are any of the bills that you have sent [16] Axonn for other work included within the bills that 1171 you have provided us?

1181 A: Yes.

1191 Q: What other work have you done for Axonn [20] other than the revival for which you have provided us (21) bills? 1221 MR. LIPMAN: Just a minute, Mr. Kues-

:o

1. 1. 1. 12. 5. 10. 1

in Mr. Kuesters, in answering that question, please (2) answer it in a general manner at this point in time, 131 and in answering it, please be careful not to reveal 141 the substance of any communications with your client is that would possibly be privileged or work product (6) information. But for right now, answer that question (7) generally to

the extent you can.

181 A: Primarily prosecution, patent prosecution. . 191 Q: And is this prosecution of the

abandoned (10) patent that was later revived or is it prosecution of pur other patents?

1121 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form. ambiguous

1131 MR. WOLBRETTE: Well, it's difficult not to 1141 be ambiguous since you advised him to answer [15] generally, and he did, and I'm trying to find out, we [16] have a stack of bills that's been produced claims [17] have been made for his fees. There's been no (18) specification as to which fees are being claimed, and 1191 I'm trying to find out which of these bills relate to [20] the revival effort.

1211 MR. LIPMAN: Why don't you ask the 1221 Question?

Page 21

m MR. WOLBRETTE: The case of the lawsuit and 121 which of them relate to other things, and I think (3) that's exactly the question that I asked him when you (4) advised him to answer generally, That's what I'm 181 trying to get to, Can you help me out with that, [6] Mr. Kuesters iti MR, LIPMAN: Counsel, ask a pointed

18) question and Mr. Kuesters will answer 191 MR. USDIN: What is the question?

nor MR. LIPMAN: The question was can you help [11] him out, and I guess the answer is he can help you [12] out. 1131 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(14) Q: Fine. Tell me which one of the bills in [15] front of you relate to the revival of the abandoned [16] patent and which of them relate to prosecution of that (17) patent or other patents.

(18) A: All bills which are under our reference [19] number 6926-002-20 DIV involve the application which 1201 was abandoned and which was revived. Up until the |21| revival - or after the revival. there was no [22] substantive prosecution. The case was allowed, and I

Page 22

(1) believe that the only bill under that docket number (2) after revival, which,

ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

# CONFIDENTIAL

AXONN CORPORATION V. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

base issue fee in and what other fees were associated with that. All is other bills prior to that time would have been

for the 161 revival effort (7) Q: And what are the bills for file. number (8) 6926-004-20 CONT for?

191 A: I'm sorry. Would you repeat the number?

(10) Q: 6926-004-20 CONT. What a those bills on for? (12) MR. LIPMAN: You're referring to the stack (13) of documents that are not an-

exhibit in this case in pay front or the witness? Is that what you mean? us MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm referring to

the stack (16) of documents, as I've beenreferring to since we've (a) started this line of questioning, that were produced (18) to us today that you have your hand on that we've been 1191 referring to. (20) MR. LIPMAN: I was just trying to rielp

you fan out, Counsel, because Mr. Knesters doesn't remember (22) all these numbers and there's one that I turned to Page 23

in that has that number that might felp

the witness. (a Just trying to help you out BIA: 6926 refers to Axonn.004 is an item at number, 004 is a continuation of tite

002 case, which is was filed in the patent office. 6) Q: Is this a continuation in part?

7 A: No.

» Q: Continuation? 91 A: Continuation

101 Q: Is it the same pattern that was

involved in (ii) the abandoned patent? (2) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague, and 13) anibiguous.

IN BY MR. WOLBRETTE: . . isi Q: Is it the same parent or is it if

different (16) patent?

(2) MR. LIPMAN: Same objection.

isi Q: Do you understand the question?

191 A: Can we go off the record?

to MR. LIPMAN: Nor If he cannot get the

211 question right, that's -22) Q: Does the continuation lead to a

lifferent

Page 24

ii patent other than the one that was ibandoned? # A: Correct

31 Q: So this is work on something that would 141 lead to an additional patent with the patent office, [5] for instance? of A: That's correct.

pg MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and

1111 MR. USDIN: Objection. [12] MR. LIPMAN: If you understand the

·113] Question, answer it. HH A: It's not

(10) ambiguous.

[15] Q: It's not. Okay. And is the work that's [16] contained on that bill or any of the bills with that pranumber - was that done after the abandoned patent was [18]

19 MR. USCIN: Which bills are you referring 1201 to?

211 MR. WOLBRETTE: The 69264002-20 CONT.

122] MR. LIPMAN: There are multiple bi-

Page 25

iii MR. WOLBRETTS: Bight, You can go ahead (2) and take a look at them; BITHE WITNESS: I don't recall the date

that in the 002 case was revived. (5) MR. WOLBRETTE: February 1996 was the 161 decision.

m MR. LIPMAN: That doesn't necessarily mean is; that's the date as a matter of law that the case was 191 revived, but nonetheless, you can answer the question

[10] if you can. iii A: We began preparation of the continuation [12] before the revival in anticipation and being (13) aptimistic that it would be revived, or hopeful, (ii) any-

way. 151 Q: If it had not been revived, would this work [16] have been for naught?

1171 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, speculative. 1181 A: He objected.

(19) Q: You can answer. Unless he fells you not to [20] answer, you can answer.

(2) FA: Yes.

[22] Q: And there's a third file number,

Page 26

in 6926-007-20 CONT, that is referenced in this stack of [2] documents. Can you tell me what that refess to? BIA: This is a further continuation.

application in off of the 004 case. (s) Q: And when did the work begin on

161 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and 171

ambiguous. Mr. Kuesters, are you answering that (8) question based upon the documents or based upon your 191 mem-

(10) A: I don't recall exactly when the work began.

A: That's correct.

Q: This is not part of the review of the lines of (ii) Q: Can you tall from the bill when the

[14] Q: So - all right. And the work that was [15] done in connection with this 6926-007-20 CONT was not [16] in connection with the revival effort, was it?

1171 MR. USDIN: Objection, vague.

[18] A: The 002 case was already revived before we [19] began the 007 continuation. [20] Q: Okay. Is 007 going to lead to a new

[21] patent? (22) MR. LIPMAN: Objection,

speculation.

Page 27

(i) Q: Is it intended to lead to a new patent? 121 A; Yes.

si Q: Any decision from the patent

office on 141 that? i:: A: No

in MR. LIPMAN: Hold on a second. I didn't 174 hear the question.

isi MR. WOLBRETTE: Any decision from the 191 patent office on that, and the ar svier was no.

4101 MR. LIPMAN: I heard the answer. I just (11) didn't hear the question. I was just asking as a [12] courtesy to hear the question again:

1131 G: Was the work that you did for Axonn in [14] connection with the revival of the abandoned (15) application - was that the first time you had done [16] work £ir Axonn?

1181 Q: Can you tell me how it came about that you (19) did this work, that you were angaged to do this work? 1201 In other words, did they call you and say we want you? (21) Did they interview you? How did this come about? Do 1221 you know?

us MR. LiPMAN: I object to the question

(O |2) the extent it seeks disclosure of privileged or work (3) product information.

in MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm not asking for 151 communications. I'm just trying to find out (6) mechanically what hap-

pened. 171 MR. LIPMAN: Nevertheless, I have the

same isi objection and the same caution to the witness. You 191 may answer it, but in answering it, please don't [10] reveal the substance of any privileged or work product [11] information that might be raised in that question.

1121 THE WITNESS: I was contacted by Axonn.

(13) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[14] Q: Who at Axonn contacted you? 1151 A: Michael Eckstein/s

: a latt is and

. cit. t

Tiel Q: And do you's how the wastalking ... iswet (18) i

to other [17] people besides you? In other words, was it a beauty [18] contestor were you the guy?

[19] MR. USDIN: Objection. I think that's (20) probably going to call for the disclosure of the [21] substance of his communications. You don't want him (22) to get into that.

Page 29

III Q: All right. Michael Eckstein contacted you [2] and ultimately you began working on this project to 131 revive the abandoned patent?

- (4) A: That's true
- isi Q: What did you do in order to prepare 161 yourself to work on this case?
- [7] MR. LIPMAN: I object to the question. To 181 answer that question would reveal the mental processes 191 and thoughts of Mr. Kuesters on behalf of his client, [10] which would involve the disclosure of privileged or (11) work product information. As a result, I instruct the [12] witness not to answer the question.
- (13) Q: Counselor, we have received unredacted 1141 bills for Mr. Kuesters detailing meetings, review of 1151 the decision, the PTO's decision, portions of the file [16] history, discussions with Mr. Eckstein, ohtaining the [17] file wrapper history I think any - I'm not asking [18] for communications. I'm asking what he reviewed, and 1191 I don't see that that's privileged and I certainly 1201 think it's been waived if it was at all, so I ask you [21] to reconsider in light of the fact that these have 1221 heen disclosed to us already

Page 30

::: MR. USDIN: Any - there is no waiver. The 121 privilege has continually been asserted and there has 131 been no waiver. If there was any documents that were [4] inadvertently produced, then that's an inadvertent is production. But notwithstanding that, that is not a [6] waiver of any privilege. We stand by our privilege.

### IT: BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

is; Q: I certainly don't want to get into a legal 191 argument. Obviously we're going to have one later. A 1101 claim is being made for the fees that Mr. Kuesters [11] charged. We have a right to inquire what he did to [12] earn those fees to determine whether they are proper, [13] necessary, appropriate, reasonable and related to this 1141 case. And so I guess I'll ask it specifically, [15] Mr. Kuesters, is the first entry that you have of time [16] in connection with the revival effort of the abandoned [17] patent and application April the 4th, 1995?

(18) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation.

1191 Q: Could you review your hill and REPORTING PAGE 29 - Fage 35 Tin 1 Geripus

(20) MR. LIPMAN: The question assumes facts not (21) in evidence.

1221 MR. USDIN: Can I see?

Page 31

in MR. WOLBRETTE: This is the file of bills (2) that Axonn turned over to us, and there are no (3) redactions on the bills themselves as far as I can 141 see.

151 MR. LIPMAN: Let's go off the record for a [6] second.

- (B) (Discussion off the record) [10] BY MR. WOLBRETTE:
- [11] Q: Mr. Kuesters, you have in front of you the [12] bills that you are producing today, right?
- 1131 A: That's correct.

1141 Q: And we've been talking about them. And we [15] will mark them as an exhibit. I don't know what [16] number we're going to give to them. We'll make it 1171 Defendant I. We'll ask the court reporter to mark the [18] bills as Defendant 1, okay, the bills produced in 1191 response to the subpoena. Looking through those 1201 bills, can you tell me whether the first day on which [21] you made a charge in connection with the revival [22] effort was April the 4th, 1995?

Page 32

III MR. LIPMAN: Go ahead. (2) A: Not from the bills that I have in front of 131 me. These bills -141 Q: Do you not have the April 28, 1995

bill in (5) front of you? 6 A: That's correct

171 Q: Was that bill removed as privileged from (8) the stack of bills that you're producing today? 191 MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the form of

the [10] question.

- (11) A: Apparently it was not included by my 1121 billing department.
- 1131 Q: I understand that sometimes things don't [14] get included inadvertently and that other times they jist are intentionally taken out for other reasons. I'm [16] just trying to find out if this was something that was [17] intentionally taken out or was this something that was [18] inadvertently — can you explain why this was not put 1191 in?

[20] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, foundation. Go [21] ahead. If you know, Mr. Kuesters. If you don't know, [22] don't guess.

Page 33 [1] A: I was told there was no bill for

April. [2] Q: Okay. Mr. Kuesters, I understand lawyers (3) have to depend on the

and from time to time I'm embarrassed by things is that they do or don't do, so I appreciate that and I'm (6) certainly not accusing you of doing anything wrong or [7] improper, but I have a bill here from April 28, 1995 [8] that's previously been provided to me by Axonn in this [9] case. so I'll mark that bill as - or ask the court [10] reporter to mark that bill or copy of it because it's my the only copy I've got with me, as Defendant 2, So [12] let me show you that hill. In fact, it might be best [13] if we made a copy of this now. Can we do that now? [14] Make copies for every-

fiel (Documents were marked as Defendant's (17) Exhibit Numbers I and 2.) (19) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[20] Q: Mr. Kuesters, I think I had given you a [21] copy of Defendant Number 2. the April 28, 1995 bill, 1221 and asked you if 4/4/95 was the first time anything

Page 34

111 was hilled to this file? (2) MR. LIPMAN: Which file?

131 MR. WOLBRETTE: The 6926-0001-

[4] MR. LIPMAN: Objection. foundation, vague (5) and ambiguous.

(6) THE WITNESS: I don't know.

171 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(8) Q: Do you have any record that indicates there 191 was any earlier billing than 4/4/95 on this file?

nor MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and [11] ambiguous, lacks foundation. Counsel, why don't you 1121 look at the file numbers. They're different. I'll 1131 help you out here so we can speed up the process. [14] You're assuming facts that you haven't yet (15) established, so we're trying to help you here. We're 1161 not making any progress.

[17] Q:1 see. Well, this bill says, our reference [18] 6926/001/20SD, your reference, Wireless Alarm System, (19) re. petition to revive. Is that right?

1201 A: Yes.

[21] Q: Does this deal with the abandoned patent?

[22] A: Yes.

Page 35

(i) Q: Does this deal with the attempt to revive (2) the abandoned patent? 131 A: Yes

141 Q: And there's only one ahandoned patent that is; you know about that you've worked on for Axonn; is [6] that

correct? 171 A: Yes.

IN Q: This is the one that David Newman bookkeeping department Iknow | | Ido was in involved in as prior counsel to 100

ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

Axonn; is that right?

other Axonn patent?

1181 MR. LIPMAN: What file?

the WITNESS: Under

iii answer is I don't know

10) A: Yes.

:151 A: No

this file?

# CONFIDENTIAL

AXONN CORPORATION V. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

the official counsel for Axonn when you

(14) enter an appearance? Is that the point?

(15) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, hypothetical.

1161 A: In this case, I don't recall exactly what [17] the switch-over date was, but generally that's (18) correct.

(19) Q. I'm just trying to understand the basic iza concept here. All right, Weli -20 A: The 001 bills and the 002 bills refer to [22] the same revival effort.

Pago 38 in Q: I appreciate that I think I understand in now. And what you have in the etarck in front of you (3) that's been marked Exhibit I didn't have the 001 (1)

dails; is that correct? isi A: Correct.

in Q: Is there any way that you can tell from 171 this April 28th bill, Defendant 2, when the tile was is opened?

m MR. LIPMAN. Objection, vague and

(10) ambiguous IIII A: I don't understand the question .

1121 Q: Well, some file systems, some law systems (13) have a code in it that can rel! you when the file was (18) first opened, or sometimes there are entries made that [15] indicate that, I'm just asking you if you can tell (16) from what's on this page -

its Q: Do you have a recollection of when it is just that you were first hired by

1201 MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the extent the [21] question calls for a legal conchision

f2f. At I don't recan when I first talked to

. Paca 33

Michael Eckstein. It was obviously: hefore April 4th, 12t 1995 . .

(3) Oc Okay. On April the 4th, 1995, did you (4) resubmit PTO's decision on the petition and portions ist of the file

history and the application?,. se MR. LIPMAN: Objection as to form. Pirst, 171 are you asking based upon the do cument in front of him as that says that

that you just read or are you asking 191 for, an independent recollection, and nevertheless, I 1101 object to the question since it calls for disclosure [11] of attorneyclient information and work product [12] information and the thought processes engaged in by [13] the witness.

1141 MR. WOLBRETTE: Are you structing him not [15] to answer the question?

1161 MR. LIPMAN: Welf, rirst clarify what-

[19] BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(20) Q: Sitting here today, can you tell me from [21] your memory - and you may refer to this bill as you 1221 wish to refresh your memory - do you have a

Page 40

111 recollection sitting here today that on April the 4th, [2] 1995 you reviewed the PTO's decision on the petition (3) and portions of file history and application. SN?

141 MR. USDIN: Objection as to form. I'm not (5) sure what you're asking him. You say sitting here (6) today based on his recollection. Then you tell him to 171 refer to the document. I object to the form: of the |8| question.

191 MR. WOLBRETTE: You don't understand the (10) concept of refreshing your recollection?

(it) Mil. USDIN: Well, it's two different 1121 questions is the problem. I do understand the concept [13] very well. What I don't understand is a question that [14] confises the two concepts.

IISI BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[16] Q: I see. I'll try to unconfuse it, Counsel, 1171 Does is bill indicate that on 4/4/95 you, Eckhard (18) Kuesters, reviewed the PTO's decision on petition and 1191 portions of the file history, application (20) SN07/782,345?

[21] MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The document [22] speaks for itself.

Page 41

coigo bi

(i) MR. WOLBRETTE: You can answer. 121 MR. LIPMAN: You can tell him what the fit document says.

141 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

151 Q: Does it indicate that?

161 MP. LIPMAN: You may confirm to him that [7] what he read was the correct reading because that's 181 what he's asking you to do.

(9) A: Referring strictly to the document, the (iii) document doesn't say that.

HIT C: Does the document say - the document does (12) say 4/4/95, review PTO's decision on petition and (13) portions of file history and application SN07/782,345. [14] Did I read that correctly?

usi A: Correct

[16] Q: Well, that is something for which a charge [17] is being applied, is it not? [18] A: Uh-huh.

[19] Q: Is that correct?

1201 MR. LIPMAN: Just say yes or no or if

need in the April 28th, pag 1995 bill. 6926/001/20SD, the Page 36

IIII Q: Now, did you work on any other

attempt to [12] revive besides the one

that's shown on this April [13] 28th, 1995

bill? Any other attempt to revive any [14]

(16) Q: Do you have any record of any bill

going (17) out-before April 28, 1995 on

in MR. WOLBRETTE: The file ive -

we're (20) talking about what's refere-

21 Q: Do you have any recollection sitting here is of any earlier work other than as reflected on this 14 April 28th, 1995 bill, Defendant's Exhibit 2, in [5] con-

6] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague, and [7] ambiguous.

38 A: I don't know.

nection with this file?

91 O: How could you find out?

101 A: I can call my billing department and ask mithem for bills on the 001 case. I had forgotten that (12) there was - in our internal docketing, we assign a [13] different number once the case - once we actually [14] enter prosecution. The 001 case is different in terms (15) of short docket, indicating that it's not a parent 16) application and it was preliminary to our becoming of 1171 record in the patent application. To the extent that 11st billing begins on this bill on April 4ut, I don't know [19] if there was billing in March or

201 Q: Is that something you can find out while 1211 we're here today?.

1221 A: Yes.

Page 37

(i) Q: At the next break, we'll ask if your 21 counsel agrees that we try to find that out if this [3] is, what I've marked as Defendant 2 is the first bill, 141 When would the file number have changed from 001 to (5) something else? I'm not talking about the date, but (6) what event would occur that would cause a change in [7] that?

(8) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation

91 A: When we had a live patent application that (10) we were responsible for.

ini.Q: Would that be at the point - I nowdon't know 1121 what the exact term is in the patent field, but did 1131 you become

the [17] question is an Men ( ) If in struct ; hyou gay don't know, say you don't know. at Which being him not to answer (18) apeaks for itself-ahrizza Alives.

III Q: Okay, Who did that?

(2) A: I did that, I believe I did that,

131 Q: Why do you believe you did that? 141 MR. LIPMAN: Well, I object to the 151 question. The question calls for disclosure of [6] privileged or work product information and the thought [7] processes of the witness and I'm instructing

him not (8) to answer the question. 191 MR. WOLBRETTE: Are you instructing him not (10) to answer the question of why he believes that to be (11) the case?

1121 MR. LIPMAN: Yes, exactly

(13) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1141 Q: On 4/4/95, did you discuss your view of the 1151 PTO's decision of petition and portions of file [16] history and application SN07/782, 345 with Michael

1171 Eckstein? IN MR. LIPMAN: Same instruction, same

1191 objection. [20] MR. WOLBRETTE: Are

structing him not 1211 to answer? 1221 MR. LIPMAN: Yes. 

## Page 43

(1) Q: And Mr. Kuesters, you're going to follow (2) the instructions of your counsel not to answer. I isi assume?

(a) A: I am.

(5) Q: In every case, are you? I mean, I can ask io you every time whether you're following his [7] instruction, but I don't really need to do that [8] because you are going to follow his instructions?

(9) A: That's correct.

[10] Q: Did you contact David Newman on April the (11) 6th, 1995 in connection with the petition to revive?

[12] MR. LIPMAN: Are you asking that question (13) independent of the document or based upon his memory? [14] Let's turn the document over. I don't care how you do [15] it, Counsel, but let's make clear what you're doing.

1161 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[17] Q: I'm asking him if he contacted David 1181 Newman. He can look at anything he wants. I don't [19] care what he looks at. Did you contact David Newman [20] on April the 6th, 1995?

1211 MR. LIPMAN: I object to the formand it (22) also lacks foundation.

#### Page 44

(1) MR. USDIN: I think the objection I'm (2) raising is that it's not clear whether you're asking is him of independent recollection or does this bill 141 state that that happened, or I guess third, does the (5) bill refresh his recollection that that's what 161 happened. But it's three diffe ferent questions and it's renot clear to me: BCW LECHTOCK COLLEGE GRAING & CO

which one you're asking.

## 181 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

191 Q: Do you remember having a conversation with [10] David Newman on April the 6th, 1995? Let the record iiii reflect that Mr. Kuesters' counsel has turned the [12] April 28th, 1995 bill over. Do you recall having a [13] conversation with Mr. Newman on April the 6th, 1995?

(14) A: I have no independent recollection of on [15] what days I had conversations with David Newman.

[16] Q: How many conversations do you recall having [17] with David Newman? [18] A: I have no count, in my mind.

although they [19] are numerous. 1201 Q: The bill in front of us says that you (21) discussed with Mike Eckstein on 4/4 your review of the 1221 file history, does it

Page 45 [1] MR. LIPMAN: You want him to look at

the (2) bill now? 131 MR. WOLBRETTE: Yes.

not? That's what it indicates.

191 MR. LIPMAN: Is that what the bail says?

15] A: Yes.

161 Q: Do you have any notes or written memoranda 171 of your conversation with Mike Eckstein on that date?

[8] A: No.

191 Q: When you do these bills, you try to be 1101 accurate, don't you?

[11] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, foundation. 1121 A: When I do my bills I try to be accurate.

1131 Q: All right. And if you would put down an [14] entry that something happened on a particular day, (15) it's because that's the day it happened, right? 1161 A: Yes

[17] Q: And I assume when you do this that you are [18] careful about making sure that the days are correctly [19] recorded that certain events happened; is that 1201 correct?

(21) MR. USDIN:1 object to the form of the [22] question as to what you assumed.

### (1) BY MR. WOLBRETTE: 121 Q: You're right. When you go through

and bill (3) a client and there are certain events recorded on [4] certain days, you're careful aren't you to make sure [5] that those dates are accurate?

[6] A: Yes.

171 Q: 1 understand that from time to time 181 mistakes can happen, but you try to make sure that 191 they don't, do you not? 100 A:J try to avoid mistakes.

101 A:J try to avoid mistakes.

103 MR. USDIN:I join in the abjection in the abjection of the particular in the abjection in th

they go (12) out?

[13] A: Yes.

1141 Q: All right. And how do you get the dates (15) that you put down on the bills? Do you take them from [16] time sheets, do you record them concurrently, are [17] there notes? Can you explain to me how that happens?

[18] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form.

[19] Q: Go ahead.

[20] A: Generally concurrently.

(21) Q: So would you believe that the dates and the (22) events that are recorded on Defendant Exhibit 2 are an

Page 47 in accurate representation as to when

things occurred? 121 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, foundation.

BIA: I believe so.

141 Q: Before we go on with this, let me ask you is something about what your role is in this case, our io case. Have you been consulted as an expert by the 171 attorneys for Axonn?

(8) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and [9] ambiguous, and I object to the form of the question 1101 and also object to the extent it calls for disclosure [11] of privileged or work product information in the 1121 question as phrased and I instruct the witness not to 1131 answer it. 1141 Q: Have you been asked to give an opinion as (15) to whether David New-

man breached the standard of care [16] for patent lawyers in his handling of this matter? 1171 MR. LIPMAN: Objection. To answer that [18] question, assuming it could be

answered, would reveal (19) privileged or work product information relative to 1201 this lawsuit and I instruct the witness not to answer [21] that question. And I'm sure it also probably violates [22] the pretrial order by the court in this case, of which

Page 48

iii I have no knowledge. 127 MR. WOLBRETTE: I just want to make

sure I is understand. You're counsel for Mr. Kuesters; you are [4] not counsel for Axonn; is that correct?

151 MR. LIPMAN: I am counsel for Mr. Kuesters [6] and the Oblon, Spivak firm 171 MR. WOLBRETTE: You're not counsel for 181 Axonn?

19] MR. LIPMAN: That is absolutely correct

1101 MR. WOLBRETTE: I have heard no objection (11) from Axonn's counsel to that question. I just want to [12] make sure, do you all object?

Page 46

Min-U-Script®

OURT REPORTINGS - Page 42 - Page 48 2c 5 - Page 61

ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

# CONFIDENTIAL

## AXONN CORPORATION v. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

both to object to each (15) question. So far we have not been doing that.

1161 MR. WOLBRETTE: You represent different [17] parties, so I think you're going to have to do that.

1181 MR. USDIN: Then I join in the objection to [19] the question as phrased. This witness has been (20) subpoenaed as a fact witness.

1211 MR. WOLBRETTE: I understand that, and I'm (22) trying to find out if headdition to the facts that her

### Fage 49 m is aware of, if he has any other role in

the 121 litigation. in MR. LIPMAN: Why don't you ask him, for14) instance, I mean - you know how to do this. Ask him is has he spoken to counsel. Let's take it one step at a 161 time so you can get some information and you

won't get 171 others. But you want to go right for the home run in 181 the first question, my objection stands. 191 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1101 Q: Have you been paid anything, any

fee in (11) connection with this litigation that brings us here [12] today as opposed to the patent work that you did work [13] for Axonn?

1101 MR. LIPMAN: You mean such as the fee that [15] came with the subpoena? 161 MS. MANNING: That was not paid by Axonn.

### 1171 BY MR. WOLBRETTE

ist Q: Have you been paid anything by Axonn or (19) Axonn's attorneys other in connection with the [20] litigation that brings us here today as opposed to the (21) work that you do for them on patents?

1221 A: No.

# Page 50

s at out which

iii Q: Have you been asked to send them a bill for (2) time or services in connection with this litigation? 31 MR. LIPMAN: Objection. To answer

that 141 question would reveal privileged or work product is information and I instruct the witness not to answer 161 the question.

- 171 MR. USDIN: I join in the objection. IN BY MR. WOLBRETTE:
- 191 Q: Have you met with counsel for Axonn in this (10) case?
- mi MR. LIPMAN: You may answer the question [12] yes or no.
- 1131 THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "meet"?
- IHI BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

iks for itself ind to the

415) Q: Talk to them on the telephone or t il em person: Copieca Design Cop · - 6 & 68

[17] Q: About this case, this litigation that [18] brings us here today.

[19] A: Yes.

that you

1201 Q: How many times have you done

(21) A: Please clarify the question.

[22] Q: I'm not interested in discussions

Page 31 iii may have had about the weather or ene Weshington, D.C. [2] area or what time you might be available for this be deposition. I'masking you have you aver talked to 141 them, niet with them, peo-

vided them with any ist information concerning the substance of the ici litigation, either what happened, what your role -

A: What happened -

, 16) MR. LIPMAN: Let him mish the question in before you answer it. Are you with the state of the state of

# HOPBY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(ii) Q: No. Either what occurred during your (12: attempt to revive, your view of David Newman's 1131 behavior, what David Newman may lrave told you, any of (14) those sort of items? So the question Umasking you us is have you met or how many times have you met with [16] counsel for Axonn in connection with this litigation?

1171 MR. LIPMAN: I object to the form of the [18] question. I'm still not sure what it is. It's that (19) last question, how many rimes have you met, if that's [20] the question -

# (21) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[22] Q: That's the question, Counselor. Now many

Page 52

(4) times have you met? 12) A: There's no foundation.

131 C: I'm sorry. You're now objecting to

my 141 questions too? HIMB. EIPMAN: Counsel, he asked you-

to is clarify between phone calls and meetings. You refused 171 to do wat. iai Q: How many times have you spoken

on the (9) telephone with counsel for Axonn in this litigation?

1101 A: No foundation

(11) MR. LIPMAN: Well, wait. Mr. Kuesters, if [12] you can answer the question, fine. He's assuming of [13] course you did speak by telephone, so since he won't (14) ask the question cleanly, just tell him yes or no or I [15] don't know or I never spoke to him, so maybe we can 1161 speed up the process.

171 MR. WOLBRETTE Well, if you've 121 MR. LIPMAN: Objection . The Stilot A. Bever 488 spoken 40 birst he answer is ... [17] MR. WOLBRETTE: Well, if you've

zero.

1191 MR. LIPMAN: Well, he's asking as a 1201 foundation question. You're an experienced lawyer. (21) Say "Did you ever speak by phone?" "Yes." "How many 1221 times?" I mean, that's the way it goes.

Page 53

III BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[2] Q: Thank you, Counselor, How many times - ii) that asks for a number. How many times have you is spoken on the telephone with counsel for Axonn? If [5] you've never spoken to them, tell me

(6) A: I don't know what you mean by " about this [7] litigation."

ist Q: Qkay. Do you know what this litigation is 191 about?

(10) A: I believe it's malpractice. (11) Q: Right And do you understand that

it has the to do with the abandoned patent? . . .

1131 A: Yes.

[14] Q: And it has to do, since it's malpractice, (1% with the conduct of David Newman while he was the (16) patent lawyer for Axonn in connection with this [17] particular application, correct?

(18) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, foundation, also to 1191 the extent it seeks a legal conclusion, I object to 1201 it. 1211 BY MR. WOLBRETTE.

[22] Q: Do you understand that?

Page 54

III A: Would you repeat the question? 121 MR. LIPMAN: Why don't you just have her (3) read it back?

141 Q. No, let's just go on. Mr. Kuesters, you is obtained - as part of your effort to revive, you is obtained a declaration from Mr. Newman, did you not?

[7] A: Yes.

(8) Q: Which was filed in the patent office as 191 part of your first revival attempt; is that correct?

(10) MR. USDIN! As part of his first revival?

(11) MR. WOLBRETTE: Yes.

1121 MR. USDIN: You're talking about the one 1131 that Mr. Kuesters did as opposed to any other revival [14] efforts?

1151 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1161 Q: Right.Now,according to this April 28th, [17] 1995 bill, Exhibit 2, you were reviewing the revised (18) draft declarations of Newman, Perilli, Criss and [19] Molvin, and discussing them with David Newman on 1201 4/28/95; is that correct? You can look at them. I vi di

extent the question calls

# Page 55

iii for the revelation of privileged or work product (2) information, I instruct the witness not to answer the (3) quest-

141 MR. WOLBRETTE: Could you explain to me how [5] his conversations with David Newman are privileged?

16; MR. LIPMAN: I voiced my objection. I i don't want to take time to discuss -

IN MR. WOLBRETTE: Well, you understand that 191 we're going to be seeking expenses, and I just want to 1101 - this is not - I don't mean this be unfriendly in

(12) MR. LIPMAN: I don't take it at all.

iiii any way, but -

1131 MR. WOLBRETTE: You understand we're going (14) to be seeking expenses and so forth in connection with [15] his refusal to answer these questions.

1161 MR. LIPMAN: I don't understand that, but |17| now that you're saying that, I understand that that's [18] what your possible intent would be, sure.

.19] MR. WOLBRETTE: Okay.

.201 MR. LIPMAN: And I hope you understand that [21] if you ask properly phrased questions that don't [22] involve the revelation of privileged or work

#### Page 56

ii information, I will not instruct the witness not to |2| answer the question. 31 Q: Apparently everything I ask about this bill is is privileged, according to you. I'm going to show is you what we're going to mark - if we've already [6]

marked something as a plaintiff's exhibit , MR. USDIN: As long as it's the same

34 MR. WOLBRETTE: We might as well use that (9) same one.

1101 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

III Q: I'm going to show you what's been [12] previously marked as P-59 and P-58 in prior (13) depositions: P-59 being a cover letter to David [14] Newman, and P-58 being the request for reconsideration (15) which you filed. Is that exhibit, that is, the 58, is (16) that the petition or revival effort that you first 1171 filed on behalf of Axonn in this case, in the [18] abandoned patent case?

1191 A: It's an effort that I filed. I don't know (20) if it was the first one or not.

1211 Q: And does that contain attached to it the 1221 declaration of Mr. Newman?

Page 57

iii MR. LIPMAN: Objection as to form. 12: A: Yes, it does.

(3) Q: And what is the date that Mr. Newman (4) executed the declaration? 151 MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The question lacks (6) foundation. The document

speaks for itself. [7] A: April 28, 1995.

181 Q: And had you consulted with Mr. Newman or 191 talked to Mr. Newman about this document before he [10] signed this particular version of it?

[11] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation.

1121 A: Yes, I did.

1131 Q: And, had you seen drafts and revived drafts [14] of it before it came into this form?

(15) A: I don't recall.

1161 Q: But you had - you do recall talking to [17] David Newman about what was to go into that [18] declaration, do you

119 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form. (20) A: Yes.

1211 Q: And the point of that declaration was to |22| show that because of various things that were going on

(i) in Dr. Newman's office and his life. that he had 121 missed the deadline date of February the 19th for 131 supplying a document to the patent office; is that |4| correct?

151 MR. USDIN: Objection as to form and lacks is foundation assumes facts not yet in evidence 171 Q: You can answer.

(8) A: The point was to explain the circumstances 191 which I was told by David Newman led to the 1101 abandonment.

[11] Q: And those circumstances included his [12] medical problem with his feet, right?

1131 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation.

[14] Q: Is that correct?

1151 A: Yes.

(1) Q: Is that right?

[16] Q: And problems with his office stuff;

is that [17] correct? 118] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation.

1191 A: Yes [20] Q: And the crashing of his docket system?

(21) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, lacks foundation 1221 and form

Page 59

121 A: Yes.

missed the deadline of 151 February the 19th; is that right? 6 MR. USDIN: I object to the form. What

171 conclusion are you referring to? (8) Q: You can answer.

191 MR. LIPMAN: Also, the question lacks (10) foundation.

(ii) A: Well, I'm not sure whose con-

clusion you're (12) referring to. 1131 Q: The conclusion in the declaration.

[14] MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The document (15) speaks for itself.

116] A: I'm sorry. What was the conclusion?

1171 Q: Yes. The question was that the conclusion [18] was that because of all those things that we just 1191 discussed, David had missed the deadline to file 1201 something in the patent office.

1211 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form, foundation, 1221 assumes facts not in evidence. and it's vague and

Page 60

(1) ambiguous.

Page 58

(2) A: To the extent that I understand the 131 Question, the answer is yes.

141 Q: Now, when you were talking to David, 151 various times you were talking to him about this [6] affidavit, did you disclose to him that Axonn had 171 filed a lawsuit against him alleging malpractice in 181 federal court in New Orleans?

191 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, foundation. 1101 MR. USDIN: I join in the objection. It's

(11) also unclear as to time. 1121 A: During what time frame?

[13] Q: Prior to his signing this declar-

ation, 141 which was April the 28th, 1995. 1151 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, assumes facts not [16] in evidence.

1171 MR. WOLBRETTE: What fact does it assume?

[18] MR. LIPMAN: It assumes the fact of . when [19] this thing was signed. Just because there's a date on [20] it, how is this witness supposed to know when it was [21] signed? Did you ask him that? Mr. Newman would know [22] that. That's a foundational question, Counsel,

Page 61

III MR. USDIN: And as to what this witness 121 knew as of any date. 131 Q: Prior to April the 28th, 1995, did

you 141 advise Mr. Newman while you were talking to him about 151 this declaration that he had been sued by Axonn in [6] federal court in New Orleans? 171 A: No.

isi Q: Did you know that he'd been sued?

(3) Q: And the conclusion was that beg; | IN Q: Didyou know that he dbeen sue ausciof all 10 of those things, he had to think R. LIPMAN: Objection, form.

ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

1101 A: I learned that he was sued.

1111 Q: Did you learn before or after April the 1121 28th, 1995?

131 A: I don't recall. I did not know before the 1141 fact.

1151 Q: What do you mean by "the fact"? [16] A: I did not know that he was sued before he [17] was sued.

1181 Q: But -

119; A: Well, I learned that -

1261 MR. LIPMAN: Wait. Just 1st him ask the 121; question.

1221 Q: Sometime after he was sued, you did learn

Page 52

in that; is that correct? .

[2] A: Correct. 131 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and [4] ambiguous.

151 Q: And from whom did you learn that? 161 A: Michael Eckstein

171 Q: What did Mr. Eckstein tell you about the in lawsnit against Mr. New-191 MR. USDIN:1 object as to that quest-

ion on 1101 its face calls for privileged communications. iii MR. WOLBRETTE: Is he allowed to

answer it just or not?

1131 MR. USDIN: No. That's privileged. 1141 Q: Did he tell you what was the nature of the 1151 lawsuit?

1161 MR. USDIN: Same objection .as to privilege. [17] That calls for privileged communications between [18] Mr. Kuesters and his client

1191 Q: Did he provide you with a copy of the (20) lawsuit? He meaning Mr. Eckstein. (21) MR. LIPMAN: Did you say a copy of the 1221 lawsuit?

Page 63

in MR. WOLBRETTE: That's what I said, copy of 121 the lawsuit.

131 MR. LIPMAN: I guess you mean the 141 complaint? Is that what you mean?

isi MR. WOLBRETTE: That's mean.

161 THE WITNESS: No.

17) BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(8) Q: And sitting here today, you cannot tell me 191 positively that when you talked to Michael - I'm [10] sorry - that what you talked to David Newman [11] concerning the matters contained in his declaration [12] that you had no knowledge of the lawsuit? You can't 113(tell me

one way or the other; is that correct? 141 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form, vague and (15) ambiguous,s

[17] MR. LIPMAN: Just so the record is clear, [18] you can't say because you don't

know or you can't say [19] because -[20] A: I don't recall. I don't recall exactly 1211 when I learned of the lawsuit in relation to the time [22] frame of pre-

paring a prosecution of the 002 case. Page 64

ru Q: Did David Newmanat any time talk 19 win iz about the lawsuit?

a A: Whigh lawsuit?

and to sorry. The suit that had been filed by 151 Axonn against Newman in rademi court alleging is mall mactice, the one plus brings us here today.

in A: I don't recall exactly.

15: C. Your bills that you have insfront of you is that are Defendant Exhibit 1 reilect that on August 1101 the 15th you ital a telephone discussion with David

(w) Newman initiated by David New-2.30.77 1121 MR. LIPMAN: Could you help us out

which (13) page? I think we found it. '14PQ: I don't know if you have it or not since I jisj don't have a copy of that in front of me. I have an [16] August 31, 1995 Hill, client matter 6926.0002, invoice 1171 miniber 313760

HBI A: That's what the bill says.

(19) Q: During that conversation, did Mr. Newman (20) not tell you that he had been sued, and did you not 121; tell him that you had no knowledge of that suit until 1221 one week prior to your phone conversation of that day?

Page 65

(1) MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form, comphund

:2: A: I don't recall.

in Q. Mr. Kuesters, do you see anything insproper, in unethical, immoral about talking to a person, whether is a lawyer or not, and seeking information from him is about a subject upon whigh he has been sued without (7) him knowing.

18! MR. LIPMAN: Objection,

hypothetical, 191 compound, complex, seeks expert opinion. This witness (10) has been subpoensed to give factual testimony. Based [11] upon those objections, I instruct the witness not to [12] answer the question.

[13] MR. USDIN: I join in all of those [14] objections.

(15) Q: Do you personally have a problem, do you 1161 personally feel it would be a problem for you as a 1171 lawyer to seek information from someone on behalf of us your client when you knew that it a nie Ar I can't sak or het meg, hat eine confermi had shad that 191 person con-tra nie Ar I can't sak or het meg hat bestelling hat sak on which you were hat

seeking (20) information?

[21] MR. LIPMAN: Objection. hypothetical, [22] compound, complex, seeks expert opinion, goes beyond

(1) the scope of the subpoena. For those reasons, I (2) instruct the witness not to answer the question. 131 MR. USDIN: I join in all of the 141

objections: 151 Q: Mr. Kuesters, do you have any

record in 161 your files of when you learned about the lawsuit (7) against Mr. Newman by Axonn?

181 MR. LIPMAN: I think it's been asked and 191 answered, but go ahead, Mr. Kuesters.

1101 A: No. I don't.

IIII Q: So it's possible that you, Mr. Kuesters, (12) could have discussed the affidavit - or the 1131 declaration of April the 28th, 1995 with Mr. Newman 1141 after you learned that there was a lawsuit against (15) him?

1161 MR. LIPMAN: Objection.

117 MR. USDIN: Objection.

1181 Q: Is that possible?

1191 MR. USDIN: Objection. On its face, it (20) requests speculation.

1211 MR. LIPMAN: Well, to the extent I feel I 1221 have to join in, which I don't feel that way, I

Page 67

III certainly join in.

degree.

121 A: I don't think that's - I don't know. 131 Q: That's not something that you would just 14) not do?

151 MR. i.IPMAN: Objection, form. I don't in understand that question at all, and to the extent mit's a follow-up to the other one, it's taking w speculation to another

191 A: I agree with his objection.

110) Q: You can't tell me that that's something just that you simply - that's not apractice you would [12] simply not en-

1131 MR. USDIN: Object as to form. I'm not [14] sure what your references are in that question.

1151 MR. LIPMAN: I'll add the further objection (16) it's argumentative. You asked the question the third (17) time. You're arguing with the witness.

1181 Q: No, I'm not arguing. The witness doesn't (19) have any recollection sitting here. He says it's 1201 possible. I'm trying to find out based on this 1211 witness practices, his beliefs, his conscious, his Witayie; el2. 1221 underständing of the ethics rule of usbraing that anything else that 4.90 no your filingethe

Page 62 - Page 67-6124-6

age 85 Page 25 (20) Page 75 ta e 80, (14) common property

## Page 68

(i) he has knowledge of, whether this is something that he [2] simply - I'm giving you the opportunity to say this is something I simply wouldn't engage in.

14; A: I want to say one thing. ISI MR. LIPMAN: Let me voice my objection. [6] Based upon that clarification, the question is [7] certainly argumentative, and now you've taken it to [8] another degree of argumentation. Now you're adding a 191 moral view. You're seeking expert opinion from this 1101 witness based upon his understanding of the ethical my rules, which you have no foundation for, and you're 1121 going way, way beyond the scope of this subpoena. I (13) have the same objections and I'll

instruct the witness [14] not to answer the question 1151 MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm entitled to find out if 1161 he doesn't have a recollection -

[17] MR. LIPMAN: Ask him that question. 1181 Q: I'm entitled to find out whether it's more 1191 likely than not that he would do such a thing, and I [20] think I'm entitled to find out based on his personal 1211 practices and beliefs if he thinks this

is something 1221 that he might do. Now, if

Page 69

111 question properly, then I'll try it that way. Do you (2) think that it is more likely than not that you would 131 have talked to Mr. Newman about the affidavit, the [4] declaration, without disclosing to him that he had is been sued if you knew that he'd been sued?

isf MR. LIPMAN: Objection. ITI MR. USDIN: Objection as to form. 1

I'm not articulating the

think is the question is compound and convoluted.

19 MR. LIPMAN: It also seeks specul-

(10) A: It's not a fact question. For the record, [11] I was straight with David Newman in all my discussions [12] with him. If he said that I told him that a week 1131 before I had learned of the suit, then I believe that (14) to be true.

[15] Q: A week before - and just so the record is [16] clear, the question I asked you dealt with a [17] conversation in August, not in April.

IN A: Correct.

1191 MR. LIPMAN: First of all, Mr. Kuesters. [20] let the questioner finish his question before you (21) answer, and I'll object to that question based on form [22] and foundation since you didn't - you weren't

Page 70

in finished and the witness already

answered.

(2) Q: Did Mr. Newman while you were talking to 131 him about the declaration before he signed it, did he is ever express to you a concern that he might he sued?

151 A: Yes.

(6) Q: And in response to his concern that he 171 might be sued did you tell him what did you tell is him? 191 A: I told him that that was possible. I

also (10) told him that I thought it was best to try to get this [11] case revived to mitigate damages in the event that a [12] suit would be filed.

[13] Q: Mr. Küesters, you would not have told him [14] that it was possible that he would be sued if you knew [15] that he had already been sued, would you?

1161 MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the form of the 1171 question. It's argumentative and it's speculative.

[18] Q: Go ahead and answer.

1191 A: Yes, I would not have told him

[20] Q: And you were straight with Mr. Newman, [21] weren't you? 1221 A: Yes

Page 71

III Q: So now that we've been through that, can 121 you say now that you were not aware of the lawsuit is when you talked to Mr. Newman in April 1995 about his isi declaration? isi MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the form of

the 161 question and foundation. 171 MR. USDIN: And this has been asked and isi answered.

191 THE WITNESS: Again, 1 have no independent [10] recollection of exactly when I learned from Michael [11] Eckst-

ein when suit was filed. 1121 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

1131 Q: Based on your recollection that

Mr. Newman [14] asked you about the suit and your telling him that it us was possible, do you think it is now more likely than 1161 not that you did not know that a suit had been filed [17] when you talked to him about his declaration?

[18] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, seeks speculation, [19] and I think it has two or three " nots." I'm a little [20] confused, but go ahead

1211 A: I think it's more likely than not. (22) Q: That you had not been informed of the

(ii lawsuit, right? (2) A: At that time.

Bi Q: The April bill -

141 MR. LIPMAN: Could I ask you to hold

on (s) just a moment? 6 MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm sorry. Why don't we [7] take a five-minute break. (Recessed at 10:29 a.m.) [9] (Rec-

onvened at 10:38 a.m.) 1101 BY MR. WOLBRETTE

(11) Q: Looking at your April 28, 1995 bill. which [12] has been marked D-2 if I'm not mistaken; is that [13] right?

1141 A: Yes.

(15) Q: Does that bill indicate that you had [16] conversations - and I'm not asking you for the [17] substance of those conversations; I'm just asking you [18] if the bill indicates that you had conversations with [19] Michael Eckstein on April 4th, 6th and 24th?

1201 MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The document (2) speaks for itself.

1221 A: Yes.

Page 73

III Q: Do you have a May bill in your stack? [2] Strike that. We're running out of time, so let's 131 forget that question. Let's go back to the April 28th H bill. Does that bill indicate that you spoken with 151 Britton Sanderford on April 6th and April . the 21st? [6] And again, I'm not asking you for the substance of [7] those conversations, just if that's what the bill [8] reflects

191 MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The document [10] speaks for itself. IIII A: Yes

[12] Q: And does it also show that you spoke with [13] Steve Fant on April the 21st and April the 28th?

(14) MR. LIPMAN: Objection. The document [15] speaks for itself. [16] A: Yes.

1171 Q: Now I am going to ask you about the (18) substance of those conversations, and you may want to populause after my question because I suspect there might (20) be an objection. At any time during any of these (21) conversations did

Mr. Eckstein or Mr. Sanderford or 1221 Mr.

Fant advise you that Axonn had sued

Page 74

iii malpractice in federal court in New Orleans

121 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, compound. Also, 131 the question seeks privileged or work product 141 information, and as a consequence, I instruct the [5] witness not to answer the question.

(6) MR. USDIN: I join in.

Newman for

171 Q: Did Mr. Fant tell you about a lawsuit that 181 had been filed against Mr. Newman in March of 1995 in 191 federal court

Page 72

CONFIDENTIAL

# AXONN CORPORATION V. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

versations (10) on April 21st and April

ECKHARD KUESTERS

June 20, 1997

IIII MR. LIPMAN: Objection. facts not [12] in evidence and seeks privileged or work product [13] information and I instruct the witness not to answer [14] the question.

1151 MR. USDIN: Same objection, and I'll join [16] and I think this is argumentative in view of the prior [17] line of cuestioning that you've been through with this (18) witness regarding what his recollection was, and that the you've already asked this witness what he knew.

1201 Q: Did Mr. Sanderford advise you about the [21] lawsuit Axonn filed against Mr. Newman in March of [22] 1'295 when you spoke to him on April the 6th and April

iii the 21st?

121 MR. USDIN: The same thing, objection. It (3) calls for disclosure of privileged communications. (4) It's also argumentative in view of the fact you is already asked this witness what he knew.

is MR. LIPMAN: I join in.

[7] Q: Did Mr. Eckstein when you spoke to him on 181 April the 4th, April the 6th and April the 24th in any 191 of those conversations advise you that Axonn had sued 1101 Mr. Newman in March of 1995 in federal court of New IIII Orbennez

[12] MR. USDIN: Same objection, seeks the (13) disclosure of privileged communications, 1141 attorney-client privileged communications; also is [15] argumentative in view of your previous questions and 1161 the testimony regarding what this witness knew as 1171 opposed to what was the substance of conversations he (18) had with his client. 119 MR. WOLBRETTE: Is he being instructed not [20] to answer all these questions?

(2) MR. USDIN: Yes.

[22] MR. LIPMAN: And I am instructing nim iest

Page 76 (1) to answer the question, and by me not joining in each (2) and every one should

not be taken in any way that I do is not agree. If I disagree, I will certainly let you H know. isi MR. WOLBRETTE: Do you want to have just a [6] stipulation then that if one person objects, the other 171 person joins

in unless you opt out? (N) MR. USDIN: That sounds good.

191 Q: And again, Mr. Kuesters, you're going to do (10) what your lawyers - the IIII A: Yes, I am, unless I indicate otherw-

[12] Q: Unless you opt out. I want to show you [13] what's been marked as P-14, a February 17, 1994 (14) memorandum to Button from Erin, re: David Newman [15] matters. Can you take a look at that please? Read (16) through it, take your time. .

I·~ A· Okav

in a: Have you ever seen that before ! just 19 handed it to you and asked you to

[20] MR. LIPMAN: You may answer whether you've (21) ever seen the document belen.

1221 A: No. - 4 ......

Fage 75

to Q: Has anyone ever read to you what's 12: Contained in the document?

is MR. UPMAN: I object to the form of the 141 question. Has somebody read to him from this isi document?

Page 77

161 MR. WOLBRETTE: Correct.

17 THE WITNESS: No.

(8) G: Has anyone described to you the substance 191 of the document?

1101 MR. LIPMAN: I object to the question and I (ii) think the answer to that Question would reveal [12] privileged or work product information, and on that (13) basis, I instruct the witness not to answer that [14] question unless the information - unless in answering (15) the question would not reveal privileged or work [16] product information, which I don't think is the case.

[17] A: What's the question? I'm sorry.

1:01 Q: Has anyone ever described to you the 191 substance of that document prior to today?

1201 A: No.

(2) Q: I take it then that decument was not in (22) your files?

Page 78 ii; MR. LIPMAN: I'm lost on that question. He is said he's never seen it before. (3) MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm simply con-

firming that is it's not in his file. That seems 3 logical 151 conclusion. All it requires is a yes to the question.

161 MR. LIPMAN: I object to the question 171 because it lacks foundation.

181 THE WITNESS: It's not in my file.

191 Q: During the time in April of 1995 when you not were preparing the revival attempt, the first one that (ii) you filed, the one that - strike that. We've been [12] discussing the revival attempt that you filed withyr tie Bavid Newmanis; de claration somme that time iframewissiphologiMB. WOLBRETTE: Counsel, I'm not man of April

was the [15] information, the substance of that memorandum ever [16] made known to you by Axonn?

1171 MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the form of the (18) question.

1191 A: Which information are you talking about?

(20) Q: Fair question.

1211 MR. LIPMAN: That's why I objected to the 1221 form of the question.

Page 79

HI Q: I'm specifically referring to the last paragraph, and I'm specifically in that paragraph is referring to the statement," Dave is waiting on Mike's 141 decision as so whether it would be more advantageous 15) for us on the JA suite to let the patent go (6) unintentionally abandoned" - I'll leave out the 171 parenthesis. "The problem is now that if we want to 181 file a disgruntled employee attidavit, it needs to be jet in the patent office by this Friday." Those two [10] lines. Was that information ever provided to you that full there was a document in Axonn's file that contained (12) that information?

(13) MR. LIPMAN: I object to the form of the [14] question, and the question as I understand it, those 1151 two sentences relate to on their face the advice or [16] possible advice of counsel as to what should or should [17] not or might or might not be done relative to this [18] case. Based upon that, the question seeks privileged [19] or work product information and I instruct the witness [20] not to answer the question. 1211 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[22] Q: When I ask these questions about **vour** 

Page 80

(i) petition to revive, unless I say differently, I'm 121 talking about the one with David Newman's declaration BI that we've been talking about up until now that we've (4) marked as an exhibit today. Do you understand?

[5] A: There were several declarations.

161 MR. LIPMAN: He doesn't seem to understand in that. That's the problem.

a, A: I believe there were.

larations?

HOLA: Yes.

(11) Q: We're talking about P-58.

(12) MR. LIPMAN: You keep calling that " the [13] petition," Counsel, like it's the only one, and that's [14] the problem. But if you want to talk about 58, that's [15] fine. id.e.

En lawyst sells you? on the state of prior to your fdingenhafatual specifion of law are not fing any other declaration of

David Newman other than the (18) one that's attached to -

[19] THE WITNESS: Well, I maybe wrong. 1201 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

(21) Q: But you know, I could be wrong too, so (22) let's just try to move on. We've been talking about

Page 81

(1) Exhibit P-59 - 58, okay? The one that is stamped [2] received May the 1st in the

office - is that the 131 patent office?

141 A: Yes, that's the PTO stamp. 151 Q: And that's the one that contains or has (6) attached to it the declaration signed by David Newman [7] which says which bears the date April the 28th, 181 1995, correct?

191 A: It includes the David Newman declaration (10) dated April 28th, 1995.

iii Q: So for shorthand purposes, when I'm talking (12) in the next set of questions about the petition to [13] revive, I'm talking about that one that you've got in (14) your hand right there, okay? Do you understand me?

HSLA: Yes, I do.

1161 Q: At the time that you were preparing that (17) petition to revive, were you aware that Axonn had in usuits files a memorandum dated February the 17th. 1993 (19) stating, "The problem now is that if we want to file a [20] disgruntled employee affidavit, it needs to be in the 1211 patent office by this Friday"?

1221 A: No.

Page 82 iii Q: Did you know at that time that, the time (2) that you were preparing this, the one in front of you. 131 the P-59, that Axonn had in its files a memorandum |41 dated February the 17th, 1993 that states, "It looks is like if we don't make a decision today, the decision [6] will be made for us and the patent will go abandoned"?

[7] MR. USDIN: You're asking if he knew they (8) had a memo stating that?

• 191 BY MR. WOLBRETTE:

[10] Q: Yes.

[11] A: No.

[12] Q: When you were preparing that petition in 1131 front of you, P-58 or 9 -

141 MR. LIPMAN: The previous question had said (15) 59.

[16] Q: I'm sorry. I should say that. It is 58. 1171 The cover letter's 59. When you were preparing P-58, [18] did you know that there was in Axonn's files a 1191 document indicating that Axonn was aware that 1201 something had to be filed by February the 19th or the 1211 patent would go abandoned?

(22) MR. LIPMAN: Which question do you

compound

Page 83 (i) want him to answer? The first one or

the second one? 121 MR. USDIN: I object to the form and ask 131 you if you would just restate it.

(4) Q: Did you know when you were preparing P-58 (5) that Axonn had in its

files a document that indicated 161 that Axonn was aware that if something wasn't filed in 171 the patent office by February the 19th, the patent [8] would go abandoned? 191 MR. LIPMAN: I object to the form of

the 1101 question. The witness of course is reading Exhibit [11] P-14. That wasn't your question. If you want to ask 1121 that question, you may. The question's not limited to [13] P-14. It's anything, according to the way the tot question's phrased

1151 A: The answer is no.

upon a given situation. It

(16) Q: Would that have made a difference to you? [17] MR. USDIN: Objection, calls for [18]

speculation. 1191 MR. LIPMAN: Also, I object to the question (20) because to answer it would reveal the mental processes (2)1 and thought processes of a lawyer as to what he might (22) do or might not do based

Page 84 iii also seeks expert opinion as a result, and so for (2) those reasons, I instruct the

witness not to answer (3) the question. [4] Q: In P-58, you made several arguments as to (5) why the patent should be revived; is that correct?

161 A: Yes.

171 Q: And one of the arguments was based on 137A, (8) unavoidability or unavoidable abandonment; is that 191 correct?

(10) MR. LIPMAN: Objection to the form of the [11] question. You can reread the document if you need to [12] to answer it.

(13) A: I'd have to review the document. (14) Q: Sure. Take a look at it.

1151 A: One of the arguments was renew the [16] petition to revive due to unavoidable abandonment (17) under 37 CFR 1.37A.

[18] Q: And that was the argument on which you used 1191 Mr. Newman's declaration, was it not, the support for [20] that argument?

1211 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, form, vague and [22] ambiguous. The document speaks for itself.

III A: The argument relied on Newman's

declaration |2| to substantiate facts. 131 Q: And wasn't the gist of the argument that (4) this patent abandonment was unavoidable because 151 Mr. Newman had missed the deadline of February the 161 19th because of all of the various problems he was [7] having, with his foot,

with his staff and with his 181 docker 191 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and [10] ambiguous. The document speaks for itself.

[11] A: Generally I believe that's true

[12] Q: If in fact Axonn was aware of the February (13) 19 deadline and made a conscious decision to allow the tru application to go abandoned, you could not in good [15] conscious submit - if you were aware of it, you not couldn't submit that argument that you're holding in (17) your hand, could you?

1181 MR. LIPMAN; Objection.

hypothetical, 1191 speculative, argume ntative, seeks expert opinion, and 1201 I instruct the witness not to answer the question. (21) This is a fact deposition based upon a subpoena for 1221 this witness to give factual testimony, not expert

Page 86

III opinions.

crashing?

(2) Q: I'll just ask one more on that line and you is can object and then I'll move on to something else suquickly because I understand you do need to leave at 151 11:00. Is that - We can go a few minutes

161 MR. LIPMAN: A couple of minutes. yes. If 171 that would help you, we're willing to go -

181 MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm really trying to 191 accommodate you. We do need to go back to the not subpoena in one second. IIII MR. LIPMAN: I appreciate that.

[12] Q: Let me ask you just one more question on 1131 this line. If you had information in your possession (14) in April of 1995 prior to submitting P-58 to the (is) patent office that indicated to you that Axonn was 1161 aware of the February 19th deadline and consciously (17) decided to allow it to pass for whatever reason, would (18) it violate your duty to the patent office, your duty polof candor. to have filed this document that's before

[21] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, speculative. (22) hypothetical, argumentative, seeks expert opinion.

Page 87

(ii) Besides, it goes to 37 CFR part 10(4)s - 1 \_ \_ relating to the 22 code of professional

# CONFIDENTIAL

AXONN CORPORATION v. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

responsibility for the patent and 131 trademark office, and it clearly seeks opinion from [4] this witness as to what he might do or what some other isi lawyer might do under a given set of circumstances, [6] and I instruct the witness not to answer the question.

171 Q: Okay, It is 11:00, I can assure you I (8) have a lot more questions relating to his bills and 191 things that I presume that you would object to since 1191 you've done in the past. And rather than go into that [11] now and waste time sine a you ail need to leave, let me 1121 return the subpoena and you're going to give us a few (73) more minutes to talk about that?

(14) MR. LIPMAN: Yes, I will Bur just so it's (15) clear, you're assuming I'll make objections based upon not the bills. Let's make it very clear, Counsel, I make 1171 objections based upon questions, not on subject (18) matter.

119 MR. WOLBRETTE: I think it's pretty Clear (20) that every question I've asked himabout anything on gifthe bill you've objected to.

[22] MR. USDIN: I don't agree with that

Page 88

(i) characterization.

22 MR. WOLBRETTE: Do you want me to go back (3) to the bills?

m MR. LIPMAN: You use the time remaining any 151 way you want.

6 Q: We've seen already dealing with the [7] subpoena response that this April 23, 1995 bill was 181 not produced, and I think the explanation that you prigave may have been that this was - you think this (10) might be because this was a 001 file at that time? Is (1) that possibly the explanation for that, the [12] bookkeeping department didn't pick up'rite (h)1 (13) designation?

141 A: I didn't ask for the 001 bills because I [15] had forgotten that it was first docketed as a 001.

16] Q: Well, what about the rest of the esponse [17] to the subpoena? Do we tave the 001 file materials in (18) this

on MR. LIPMAN: Objection. It assumes acts [20] not in evidence. Excuse me a ninute

214 MR. WOLBRETTE: I have no idea what that [22] objection means.

Page 89 ij Q: The subpoena was directed to you,

4 Mr. Kuesters; is that correct? (A: I will check the 001 file. I did not

HMR. LIPMAN: As counselor of Mr. kuesters, [6] let me make it clear that this ii. illepoena, while ridirected to Mr. Kuespristic years robebelfalf of the firm. 181 Mr. e information. [15] That

Kuesters doesn't have any personal files. These 191 are firm files and firm documents, and based upon [10] that, Mr. Kuesters on behalf of the firm made a [11] reasonable effort to get reasonably respunsive (12)-documents

[13] Q: Dr. Kuesters, do the documents which you use have produced contain the CO1 file materials?

ns MR. LIPMAN: Objection, found sion. [16] A: 1 Gon't know.

1171 Q: Well, if they do not, then the subpocerus per that - this response is not complete and it's clear not that in other respects the response is not complete, 120; as we've already gone through. Let me also"-

(21) 55%, LIPMAN: Well, I object to your (22) characterization, assuming that wasn't the: -

Page 90

iti questioning, that was just your editorial comments, 121 and if you don't want to ask the witness, I ll voir B dire him that he made a reasonable effort on the 141 behalf of the firm to get responsive documents to the 151 subpoena, and if in fact lie did not get all responsive tel documents, we will make a reasonable effort to 171 supplement.

IN MR. WOLBRETTE: I'm making no aspersions 191 about Mr. Kuesters. I believe he probably did make a not reasonable effort. I'm just trying to find out what ini has not been produced. We're obviously going to be (12) doing this another time. so we want to make sure that [13] we get all those documents before we start that

[14] We have reviewed this box and we do notics find the Newman declaration that is attached to P-58. [16] We do not find P-58 or any other revival petition prowhich was filed. Wo don't find any drafts of the (18) Newman declaration, we don't find any other (19) declarations filed with me PTO. We don't find (20) materials filed with PTO prior to your retention, [21] which are now public as part of the file. So we just (22) point this out to you to suggest some areas where

Page 91 (1) perhaps there might be something else that has not 121 been produced. 131 Also, we have - the only correspondence (4) with David Newman that we find in here - and when I 151 say "we," I guess I'm using the - I guess I shouldn't 161 do that. While we've been sitting here, Ms. Manning 171 has been looking through this, so I'm just telling you is what she's telling me. The only letter she sees 191 dealing with David Newman, correspondence, is a letter [10] to Mr. Newman of April - I'm sorry - of

May the 9th, [11] '95. And so if there are any other letters to [12] Mr. Newman, we would appreciate you making them [13] available to us. Is it possible that there are other [14] file materials, 001 file materials that have not been [15] produced or any of these things -

1161 MR. LIPMAN: Wait. Are you finished with [17] your question?

usi Q: Well did you look for these things that [19] I've just gone through and not found them or is it (20) possible that there te some other titings that you A didn't look for? And again, I'm not accusing you of (22) not doing anything. I'm just trying to find out

Page 92

(ii) what's left to find.

izi MR. LIPMAN: I object to the form of the 131 question, the very compound trature of the question. is I'll ask the question, Mr. Khesters, sitting here (st taday,do you believe there sa likelihood there might (6) be other responsive documents that after another [7] reasonable effort you might find?

is: THE WITNESS: I don't know.

ю MR. LIPMAN: Are you going to make a 1101 reasonable effort to find other documents if they im exist?

[12] THE WITNESS: Yes

1131 MR. WOLBRETTE: And we would also request (19) that we be provided a log of any documents which are [15] withheld as privileged.

no MR. LIPMAN: That question I'm sure is 1171 directed to -

(18) MR. WOLBRETTE: If they know. It's hard to [19] say who's asserting the

[20] MR. LIPMAN: Let's make one thing clear, 1211 The privilege belongs to the client, and the client is [22] Axonn, Counsel. You know that. And I'm assetting -

Page 93

m MR. WOLBRETTE: That's why I'm confused by (2) your constant objections. (3) MR. REUTER: We said that -

10 MR. WOLBRETTE: We said that late in the ist game.

16: MR. LIPMAN: Counsel, let me make it clear 171 here. I am here representing the witness and the law (8) firm.

191 MR. WOLBRETTE: You made that

[10] MR. LIPMAN: Let me finish - that's a (iii) third party here. We are merely custodian of [12] documents and information that are owned and possessed 1131 by the client. The privilege we're asserting is a (14) privilege on behalf of the owner of the information, (15) That

# AXONN CORPORATION Best Available CopCONFIDENTIAL DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

this

### ECKHARD KUESTERS June 20, 1997

owner has its own counselor here pre- sent. Highthink the record is crystal clear what's going on 117 here. You can ask questions that are not clearly 1181 dire- cted to producing or disclosing priv- ileged or work 1191 product information, you'll get what you need. That's 1201 the problem.
21  Q: Thank you. I'm going to show you

a (22) document that we'll mark as D-3 declaration of Donald

Page 94 111 L. Schilling, Ph. D. Would you read that

121 A: Okay, I've read this.

(3) Q: Have you ever seen this before today?

141 A · No

151 Q: Has anyone ever read from this document to [6] you to your knowledge at any time?

171 A: No.

181 Q: Has anyone ever discussed the substance of 191 this document with you prior to today?

[10] MR. LIPMAN: Objection, vague and (11) ambiguous.

1121 A: No.

(13) Q: If you had had this document in your 1141 possession after this patent that we've been talking [15] about was revived but prior to when it was issued in [16] January of this year, would you have felt it necessary [17] to being to the attention of the patent office the [18] references that are contained in this document?

1191 MR. LIPMAN: Objection, hypothetical, (20) speculative, seeks ex-

pert testimony from a lawyer as [21] to compliance with 37 CFR section 1.56, amongst (22) others. As a result, I instruct the witness not to. Page 95

### iii answer the question. [2] MR. WOLBRETTE: Okay. I can keep

going or, 3 fellows, it's ten after II, If this is a good time 141 for you guys to stop, I'm happy to stop or I can keep 151 going.

161 MR. LIPMAN: I think it's a good time for 171 us to stop. Clearly there's a likelihood that we'll is have to continue. 191 MR. WOLBRETTE: I think so.

[11] (A document was marked as Defendant's (12) Exhibit Number 3.)

(14) (Deposition concluded at I1:09a.m.)

Page 96 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA ) I. ECKHARD KUESTERS, the wilness n, having read the foregoing testimony of the pages of this deposition, do hareby certify II to be a true and correct transcript, subject to the 1 (5:13; corrections, if any, shown on the attached page/2-3, 11, 19

BLOCK COURT REPORTING

	Page 97
day of, 19	
scribed and sworn to belore me	
ECKHARD KUESTERS	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

... COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA ) I, KAREN YOUNG, a Notary Public within and for the Commonwealth of Virginia, do hereby certify that the witness whose deposition is hareinbetore set forth was duly sworn and that the within transcript is a true record of tha testimony given by such witness. I furthar certify that I am not related to

any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 199\_\_

My Commission Expires: June 30, 1998

Page 98 III WITNESS: It is your right to read your

deposition (2) and make any changes in form or substance. Note the 131 reason for any changes directly on the errata sheet. 141 Please sign and date the errata sheet and your 151 deposition in the spaces provided. You are signing (6) this transcript subject to the changes you have made (7) on the errata sheet. Unless otherwise agreed to by 181 counsel to this deposition, you must sign before a 191 notary public.

signature (11) page to the deposing attorney (attorney asking |12| questions) promptly! Court rules require completion (13) of this process within 30 days after receipt of the [14] transcript or signature is deemed waived. 1151 DEPOSING ATTORNEY: Upon

[10] Return the original errata sheet and

receipt of the signed errata [16] sheet and signature page, please distribute copies to [17] all parties in attendance and place the original (18) signed pages in the

original transcript.

(19) If you do not receive the signed errata sheet [20] and signature page within 30 days after receipt of the 1211 original transcript, you may assume that signature has 1221 been waived.

Page 99 ERRATA SHEET PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON THEREFOR WITNESS

DATE 17:14: L Page 100

of fate of a sy SHOCK COMMINUS Criptolock DO RI REP. (17) Page 94 - Page 100 lin-

12:5. LAWYER'S NOTES .

PAGE-LINE, :- 79-

0	3	accordance 5:15 according 54:16; 56:4;	73.3, 5, 5, 13, 13; 74:10, 10, 22, 22; 75:8, 8, 8; 78:9;	Axonn's 13:7; 16:21; 48:11; 49:19; 79:11; 82:18
		83:13	81:7, 10; 86:14; 88:7;	10:11:19:19:79:11:82:18
001 36:11, 14; 37:4, 21;	3 95:12	accurate 12:16; 45:10,	91:10	В
38:3; 88:10, 12, 14, 15, 17; 89:3, 14; 91:14	30 9:9; 98:13, 20	12; 46:5; 47:1	area 51:2	
002 23:4; 25:4; 26:18:	31 64:16	accusing 33:6; 91:21	areas 12:5: 90:22	B.S 6:7
37:21:63:22	313760 64:17 3145 4:13	actual 78:14	arguing 67:17, 18	back 10:5, 8; 54:3; 73:3;
004 23:3, 4; 26:4	37 84:17; 87:1; 94:21	actually 36:13 add 67:15	argument 30:9; 84:18, 20; 85:1, 3, 16	86:9; 88:2
007 26:19, 20	37 84:17;87:1;94:21	adding 68:8	argumentation 68:8	background 5:6; 8:9
	4.	addition 48:22	argumentative 67:16;	bail 45:4
1		additional 24:4	68:7; 70:17; 74:16; 75:4.	Baltimore 6:14
	4/28/95 54:20	advance 10:11	15; 85:19; 86:22	bar 5:4
1 31:17, 18; 33:17; 38:3;	4/4 44:21	advantageous 79:4	arguments 84:4, 7, 15	base 22:3
64:9	- 4/4/95 33:22; 34:9; 40:17;	advice 79:15, 16	Arlington 1:20	based 13:9: 26:8, 8; 39:7;
1.37A 84:17	41:12; 42:14	advise 61:4; 73:22;	articulating 68:22	40:6: 43:13: 65:10: 67:20:
1.56 94:21	4th 30:17; 31:22; 36:18;	74:20, 75:9	aspersions 90:8	68:6, 10, 20; 69:21; 71:13; 79:18: 83:22; 84:7; 85:21;
10 87:1	39:1, 3; 40:1; 72:19; 75:8	advised 20:14; 21:4	asserted 30:2	87:15, 17; 89:9
10:29 72:8		affidavit 60:6; 66:12; 69:3; 79:8; 81:20	asserting 92:19, 22; 93:13	basic 37:19
10:38 72:9	5	again 27:12; 71:9; 73:6;	assign 36:12	basically (5:11
11 9:2: 95:3	1	76:9: 91:21	associate 6:10	basis 77:13
11:00 9:10; 86:5; 87:7	58 56:15; 80:14; 81:1;	against 60:7; 62:8; 64:5;	associated 18:11: 22:4	bears 81:7
11:09 95:14	82:16	66:7, 14; 74:8, 21	assume 43:3; 45:17;	beauty 28:17
12 8:22	59 82:15, 17	agree 67:9; 76:3; 87:22	60:17; 98:21	become 37:13
137A 84:7		agreeable 9:7, 11	assumed 45:22	becoming 36:16
15th 64:10	6	agreed 9:15; 98:7	assumes 30:20; 58:6;	began 6:13; 25:11; 26:10.
17 76:13		agrees 37:2	59:22; 60:15, 18; 74:11;	19; 29:2
17th 81:18; 82:4	6926 23:3	ahead 8:5; 25:1; 32:1, 21;	88:19	begin 26:5
19 35:13	6926-0001-002 34:3	46:19; 66:9; 70:18; 71:20	assuming 34:14; 47:18;	begins 36:18
1968 6:7	6926-002-20 21:19;	al 4:19; 6:18 Alarm 34:18	52:12: 87:15: 89:22 assure 87:7	behalf 29:9; 56:17; 65:17: 89:7, 10; 90:4; 93:14
1975 6:16	5005 004 00 00 0	alleging 60:7; 64:5	attached 56:21; 80:18;	behave 16:12
1977 6:15	6926-004-20 22:8, 10 6926-007-20 26:1, 15	allow 85:13; 86:17	81:6; 90:15	behavior 51:13
1981 7:3	6926.0002 64:16	allowed 21:22; 62:11	attempt 17:3; 35:1, 11,	beliefs 67:21:68:21
1993 81:18: 82:4	6926/001/20SD 34:18;	although 16:13; 44:18	13; 51:12; 54:9; 78:10, 12	believes 42:10
1994 76:13	35:22	ambiguous 20:12, 14;	attendance 98:17	belongs 92:21
1995 30:17; 31:22; 32:4;	6th 43:11, 20; 44:10, 13;	23:13; 24:10; 26:7; 34:5.	attention 94:17	besides 28:17; 35:12.
33:7, 21; 35:13, 17, 21;	72:19; 73:5; 74:22; 75:8	11; 36:7; 38:10; 47:9; 60:1;	attorney 4:15; 7:18;	87:1
36.4; 39:2, 3; 40:2; 43:11,		62:4; 63:15; 84:22; 85:10; 94:11	98:11,11,15	best 33:12:70:10
20: 44:10, 12, 13; 54:17;	9	amongst 94:21	attorney-client 39:11; 75:14	better 16:14
57:7; 60:14; 61:3, 12; 6-1:16; 66:13; 71:3; 72:11;		answered 47:18; 66:9;	attorneys 7:20; 47:7;	beyond 65:22: 68:12
74:8, 22; 75:10; 78:9; 81:8,	9 82:13	70:1;71:8	49:19	bill 17:21: 22:1; 24:16; 26:11; 30:19; 32:4; 7; 33:1.
10: 86:14: 88:7	95 91:11	anticipation 25:12	August 6:16; 64:9, 16;	7, 9, 10, 12, 21; 34:17;
1996 25:5	97 26:13	apologize 16:16	69:17	35:13, 16, 21, 36:4, 18;
19th 58:2; 59:5; 82:20;	9th 91:10	Apparently 32:11; 56:3	available 8:22; 9:8; 51:2;	37:3; 38:7; 39:21; 40:17;
83:7; 85:6; 86:16		appearance 37:14	91:13 avoid 46:10	44:3, 5, 12, 20; 45:2; 46:2; 50:1; 54:17; 56:3; 64:16,
1st 81:2 .	· A	appears 7:9	aware 49:1; 71:2; 80:16;	18; 72:3, 11, 15, 18; 73:1.
_		application 19:12; 21:19;	81:17; 82:19; 83:6; 85:12,	4, 4, 7; 87:21; 88:7
2	a.m 72:8, 9; 95:14	26:3; 27:15; 30:17; 36:16, 17; 37:9; 39:5; 40:3, 19;	15; 86:16	billed 34:1
	abandoned 18:19; 19:1,	41:13; 42:16; 53:17; 85:14	awkward 9:20	billing 18:18: 32:12: 34:9.
2 33:11, 17, 21; 36:4; 37:3;	2, 5; 20:9; 21:15, 20; 23:11, 24:1, 17; 27:14;	applied 41:17	Axonn 7:19, 12:19, 22;	36:10, 18, 19
38:7; 46:22; 54:17	29:3; 30:16; 34:21; 35:2, 4;	appreciate 33:5: 38:1:	13:3, 4, 7; 14:20; 15:1, 18;	bills 13:21, 22; 17:9, 13,
21st 73:5, 13; 74:10; 75:1	53:12; 56:18; 79:6; 82:6,	86:11;91:12	17:22; 19:9, 11, 13, 16, 19; 23:3; 27:13, 16; 28:12, 14;	17; 18:7, 15; 19:4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 21; 20:16, 19; 21:14,
24th 72:19; 75:8	21; 83:8; 85:14	appropriate 30:13	31:2; 33:8; 35:5, 9, 14;	18; 22:5, 7, 10; 24:16, 19,
28 32:4; 33:7, 21; 35:17;	abandonment 58:10;	April 26:13; 30:17; 31:22;	37:13; 38:19; 47:7; 48:4, 8:	22; 29:14: 31:1, 3, 12, 18,
57:7; 72:11; 88:7	84:8, 16; 85:4 ability 16:14	32:4: 33:1, 7, 21; 35:12, 17, 20; 36:4, 18; 38:7;	49:13, 16, 18, 50:9: 51-16	18, 20; 32:2, 3, 8; 36:11;
28th 35:13, 20; 36:4; 38:7;	able 10:1	39:1, 3: 40:1; 43:10, 20:	52:9; 53:4, 16; 56:17; 60:6; 61:5; 64:5; 66:7; 73:22;	37:21, 21; 38:4; 45:9, 12; 46:11, 15; 64:8; 87:8, 16;
44:12, 54:16; 60:14; 61:3, 12; 66:13; 73:3, 13; 74:10;	absolutely 13:19; 48:9	44:10 12 13:54:16:57.7.		
8 1:17:-10 >	accommodate 9:4:86:9	60:14;61:3,11;66:13;	82.3, 19, 83.5, 6, 85:12; 86:15:92:22;	bit 13:13.
- racked-11 -1, 1 -5		1.103).1 (71:3: 72:3, 11, 19:7)	86-15-92-29	COSCOLA

accordance 5:15

:12: , : 81.7:10 Tacked TI

charge 26:12; 31:21;

charged 19:7; 30:11

charges 17:18

41:16

9.16

15:17

CONFIDENTIAL

AXONN CORPORATION v. DAVID NEWMAN & DAVID NEWMAN & ASSOC., P.C.

description 12:16

designation 88:13

different 23:15, 22;

difficult 16:12; 20:13

directed 89:1, 7; 92:17;

differently 80:1

directly 98:3

dira 90:3

95:18

54:12; 36:13, 14; 40:11:

design 6:10

connection 18:19: 26:15, 16; 27:14; 30:16; 31:21; 36:5; 43:11; 49:11, 19; 50:2; 51:16; 53:16;

Crutcher 15:20, 22 crystal 93:16 current 5:11 custodian 93:11

n

D-2 72:12

D-3 33:22

Dave #9:3

D.C 51:1

desire 9:20 detailing 29:14 determine 30:12

difference 83:16

44:6; 48:16

damages 70:11 date 3:16; 25.3, %; 37:5, 17; 4:7; 57:4; 58:2; 60:19:

61:2:81:7:96:4 datec 81:10, 18; 82:4 dates 46:5, 14, 21 David 35:8; 43:10, 17, 19; 44:10, 15, 17; 47:15; 51:12. 13; 53:15; 54:19; 55:5; 56:13; 57:17; 58:9; 59:19, 50:4; 63:10; 64:1,

10, 11; 59:11; 76:14; 78:13; 80:2, 9, 17; 81:6, 9; 91:4.9 day 31:20:45:14, 15: 64:22 days 9:9: 44:15: 45:18: 46:4; 98:13, 20

deadline 58:2; 59:4, 19: 85:5, 13:86:16 deadlines 9:6 deal 34:21:35:1 dealing 17:19; 88:6; 91:9

deall 69:16 decided 86:17 decision 25:6; 27:3, 8; 29:15, 15; 39:4; 40:2, 18; 41:12; 42:15; 79:4; 82:5, 5; 85-14

dec!s:ation 54:5: \$6:22: 57:4 18, 21; 59:13:60:13: 61:5:63:11:66:13, 69:4; 70:3; 71.4, 17; 70:13; 80:2. 17,81.6,9,84:19,95:1; 90:15, 18: 93:22 deciarations 54:18; 80:5, 9; 90:19

deemed 98:14 Defendant 31:17, 18; 33:11, 21; 37:3; 38:7; 46:22.641:9 Defendant's 33:16, 36:4;

95-11 degree 67:8:68:8 department 32:12; 33:3;

36:10:88:12 depend 33:3 deposing 98:11, 15

deposition 5:9; 7:5; 9:16; 51:3; 85:21; 95:14; 98:1, 5,

disagree 76:3 disclose 60:6 disclosed 29:22

disclosing 69:4; 93:18 disclosure 28:2, 20: 29:10; 39:10; 42:5; 47:10; 75:3, 13

discuss 42:14:55:7 discussed 5:21; 44:21; 59:19:66:12:94:8 discussing 51:19; 78:12 Discussion 31:8; 64:10 discussions 16:5: 29:16:

50:22; 69:11 disgruntled 79:8; 81:20 distribute 98:16

DIV 21:19 Dixon 15:9 docket 22:1; 36:15; 58:20:85:8

docketed 88:15 docketing 36:12 document 12:10, 21: 39:7; 40:7, 21; 41:3, 9, 10,

11, 11; 43:13, 14; 54:21; 57:6, 9:58:3; 59:14; 72:20: 73:9, 14; 76:21; 77:2, 5, 9, 19. 21: 79:11: 82:19: 83:5: 84:11, 13, 22, 85:10;

86:19:93:22:94:5.9.13. 18:95:11 documents 5.11, 12, 9:19; 10:19, 22; 11:5; 12:8, 9; 22:13, 16; 26:2, 8; 30:3;

33:16;89-9, 12, 13; 90:4, 6, 13, 92, 6, 10, 14, 93, 12 Donald.93:32 done 9:16; 18:4; 19:13, 19; 24:17; 26:15; 27:15;

50:20; 79:17; 87:10 down 16:13:45:13:46:15 Dr 58:1:89:13 draft 54:18

drafts 57:13, 13; 90:17 due 84:16 duly 4:2 during 51:11, 60;12;3;1 64:19;73:20:74:9;78:9)Mike § ?

June 20, 1997 bookkeeping 18:15; 19:6: 33:3: 88:12 both 48:14

ECKHARD KUESTERS

box 12:5; 17:8; 88:18: 90:14 breached 17:15 break 17:11, 12; 37:1; 72.7 5-ief 5:6:6:4 briefly 7:21 5/ing 94:17 5-ings 15:18:49:11, 20,

50:18:64:6 Britten 73:5: 76:14 broad 11:13 Brunswick 6%

call 12:6; 27:20; 28:20; cailed 5:18 calling 9:10:80:12 calls 38:21; 39:10; 42:5; 17:10; 52:6; 54:22; 62:10, 17: 75:3: 83:17 came 27:18; 49:15; 57:14 can 10:1:12:13:13:13: 16; 15:8; 17:16; 20:7; 21:5. 10, 11; 23:19; 25:1, 9, 10, 19, 20; 26:2, 11; 27:18; 50:22; 31:3, 20; 32:18; 53:13: 34:13: 36:10. 20:

48:6, 13, 15; 39:20; 41:1.

52:12, 15; 54:20; 58:7:

59:8; 71:1; 76:15; 84:11;

16:3, 5, 87:7; 93:17; 95:2,

-: 43:5, 18: 46:8, 17: 49:6

candor 86:19 capacity 7:16:15:1 care 43:14, 19: 47:15 careful 20:3; 45:18:46:4 case 8:4, 17; 14:9; 17:17; :8:9, 12; 21:1, 22, 22:13; 13:4: 25:4, 8; 26:4, 18: 19:6; 30:14; 33:9; 36:11. 3, 14; 37:16; 42:11; 43:5; :7:5, 6, 22, 50:10, 17; 6:17, 18, 63:22: 70:11: 7:16; 79.18 :ategories 11:14, 16

:ause 37:6 :aution 28:8 :ertain 45:19; 46:3, 4 ertainly 29:19:30:8: -3:6: 67:1: 68:7: 76:3 ertainty 15:8 FR 84:17: 87:1: 94:21 :hange 37:6 :hanged 37:4 :hanges 98:2, 3, 6 :haracterization 88:1; 78.9

55:14 check 89:3 3 conscious 67:21:85:13. circumstances 58:8, 11; 15 consciously 86:16 claim 18:8: 30:10 claimed 20:18 consequence 74:4 considered 5:14 claims 20:16 constant 93:2 clarification 68:6 :onsult 12:13 clarify 39:16: 50:21: 52:6 Cursuited 47:6: 57:8 cleanly 52:14 CONT 22:8, 10; 24:21; clear 43:15; 44:2, 7; 29:1, 15 63:17; 69:16; 87:15, 16, 19; 39:6, 18; 92:20; 93:6. contact 43:10, 19 contacted 28:12, 14; clear!y 87:3; 93:17; 95:7 22.1:43:17 client 12:19; 14:14, 19. contain 56:21:89:14 20: 20:4; 29:9; 46:3; 62:18: contained 24:16:63:11: 64.15; 65:18, 18, 75.18; 77.2, 79:11; 94:18 92:21, 21, 93:13 contains 81.5 code 38:13:87.2 contest 28:18 College 6:7 continually 30:2 coming 10:8; 18:8 continuation 23:4 6 8 comments 90:1 1, 22, 25, 11; 26:3, 19 Commonwealth 4:4 continue 9:15:95:8 communicate 8:13 convenience 9:9 communication 12:22: conversation 16:11, 20: 44:9, 13; 45:7; 64:19, 22; communications 12:18: 69:17 20:4; 28:5, 21: 29:18: conversations 13:7: 62:10, 17, 75:3, 13, 14 14:1, 5, 8, 13:15:16: compare 17:14

16:19, 20: 17:6: 44:15, 16: compelling 10:14 57:5; 72:16, 17, 18; 73:7. complaint 63:4 18, 21, 74 9, 75:9, 17 complete 15:15; 17:16; convoluted 69:8 89:18.19 copies 11:2; 33:14; 98:16 completion 98:12 copy 11:1; 33:10, 11, 13, complex 65-9, 22 2:,62:19, 21, 63:1, 64:15 compliance 94:21 Corporation 6:9 compound 65:1.9.22: correctly 41:14:45:18 69:8: 74:2; 82:22; 92:3 correspondence 91:3,9 conceivable 10.2 counsel 12:3; 13:7, 19; concept 37:20:40:10-12 15:18; 16:21; 21:7; 22:21: 34:11: 35:9: 37:2. 13: concepts 40:14 40:16; 43:2, 15; 44:11: concern 70:4, 6 48:3, 4, 5, 7, 11; 49:5; concerning 17:3; 51:5; 50:9: 51:16; 52:5, 9; 53:4; 63:11:65:19 60:22; 79:16; 80:13, 16; concluded 95:14 67:16, 92:22; 93:6; 98:8 conclusien 38:21: 53:19: Counsalor 29:13: 51:22: 59:3, 7, 11, 13, 16, 17; 53:2; 89:5; 93:15 count 44:18

concurrently 46:16, 20 couple 86:6 conduct 53:15 course 52:13; 83:10 CONDUCTED 4:8 court 16:12; 31:17; 33:9; confidential 5:14; 11:3 47:22; 60:8; 61:6; 64:5; confidentiality 5:11, 13 74:1, 9: 75:10: 98:12 confirm 41:6 courtesy 27:12 confirming 78:3 cover 56:13: 82:17

confused 8:11:71:20; .utice@yered 11:21 hous praghing 58:20; 85:8 3 depositions 7:10; 56:13

confuses 40:14

78:5

93:1

5 3 22

exhibit 22:13; 31:15;

81:1:83:10:95:12

expenses 55:9, 14

experienced 52:20

experience 7:10; 9:19

expert 47:6; 65:9, 22;

68.9:84:1;85:19, 22;

explain 32:18; 46:17;

explanation 88:8, 11

extent 18:2; 20:7; 28:2;

53:19; 54:22; 60:2; 66:21;

36:17; 38:20; 47:10,

exist 92:11

86:22:94:20

explained 7:21

express 70:4

55:4: 58:8

67:6

33:17; 36:4; 38:3; 46:22;

54:17, 56:6, 15, 64:9; 80:4;

Excuse 88:20

executed 57:4

G game 93.5 gave 88:9 general 17:21; 20:2 generally 11:19:14:6: 20:7, 15; 21:4; 37:17;

46:20; 85:11 gist 85:3 given 33:20: 83:22: 87:5 giving 68:2

71:11, 16; 74:8, 21: 78:11

12; 82:20; 83:6; 86:19;

files 66:6; 77:22; 81:18;

find 11:12, 14: 18:6, 14;

90:10, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,

Fine 21:14; 52:12; 80:15

finish 51:8; 69:20; 93:10

firm 4:17; 5:22; 6:17, 20.

21; 7:2; 15:19; 18:3; 48:6:

89:7, 9, 9, 10: 90:4: 93:8

first 4:2; 26:11; 27:15;

38:14, 19, 22, 39:6, 16;

49:8; 54:9, 10; 56:16, 20;

69:19; 78:10; 83:1; 88:15

30:15; 31:20; 33:22; 37:3;

finished 6:15:10:12;

51:9;70:1;91:16

finishing 6:11

firms 15:21

Fisher 6:18

flow 5:20

follow 43:1, 8

follow-up 67:7

following 43:6

follows 4:6

five-minute 72:7

Florence 4:13, 14

20:15, 19; 28:5; 32:16;

36:9, 20; 37:2; 48:22;

67:20; 68:15, 18, 20;

91:4, 22; 92:1, 7, 10

82:3, 18; 83:5; 89:8. 9

90:17, 19, 20

filing 78:14

goes 52:22; 65:22; 87:1 good 76:8; 85:14; 95:3, 6 graduated 6:5 graduation 6:16 guess 21:11:30:14; 32:22; 44:4; 63:3; 91:5, 5

guy 28:18 guys 95:4 н

hand 22:18; 81:14; 85:17 handed 76:19 handling 47:16 happen 46:8

happened 28:6; 44:4, 6; 45:14, 15, 19: 51:6. 7 happy 95:4 hard 92:18

happens 8:2; 46:17 hear 27:7, 11, 12 heard 27:10:48:10 help 8:11; 18:5, 7; 21:5, 10, 11; 22:20; 23:1, 2: 34:13, 15; 64:12; 86:7 hesitate 16.8 himself 5:22 hired 38:19 history 29:16, 17; 39:5: 40:3, 19:41:13:42:16; 44:22

Hold 27:6:72:4 57:14, 17; 87:10 holding 85:16 invoice 64:16 home 49:7 involve 21:19; 29:10; hope 9:5; 55:20 55:22 hopeful 25:13 involved 7:13; 23:10; hypothetical 37:15: 35:9 65:8, 21; 85:18; 86:22: involving 14:8

> issued 94:15 item 23:3 items 12:2, 4; 51:14

inadvertently 5:16;301/ce 4join 48:13, 18; 50:7; 42er. / 5 3 57:11------60:10:65:13:66:3:22:secution

JA 79:5

effort 10:4; 17:19; 20:20: 22:6; 24:7; 26:16; 30:16: 31:22; 37:22; 54:5; 56:16, 19; 89:11; 90:3, 6, 10; 92:7.10 efforts 19:8: 54:14 either 7:2: 12:4; 13:3; 14:14:51:6, 11 Electric 6:8 electrical 6:7 else 15:6; 18:12; 37:5; 67:22; 86:3; 91:1 embarrassed 33:4 employed 6:1 employee 79:8; 81:20 engage 67:12; 68:3 engaged 27:19; 39:12 engineer 6:10, 11, 11 engineering 6:7 enter 36:14: 37:14 entire 12:10 entitled 68:15, 18, 20 entries 38:14 entry 30.15; 45:14 equivalent 6:19 Erin 15:13; 76:14 errata 98:3, 4, 7, 10, 15, established 34:15 et 4:19; 6:18 ethical 68:10 ethics 67:22 even 11:9 event 37:6; 70:11 events 45:19; 46:3, 22 Everybody 16:11, 17 everyone 33:14 evidence 30:21:58:6: 59:22; 60:16; 74:12; 88:20

13

duty 86:18, 18

earn 30:12

easier 10:3

editorial 90:1

education 5:7

75.7

E

ECKHARD 4:1, 11; 40:17

12:17; 44:21; 45:7; 62:6, 7,

20; 71:11; 72:19; 73:21;

earlier 34:9; 36:3, 19

Eckstein 14:1; 15:4;

28:15; 29:1, 16: 39:1

·F face 62:10; 66:19, 79:15 fact 29:21; 33:12; 48:20; 60:17, 18; 61:14, 15; 69:10; 75:4; 85:12, 21; facts 30:20; 34:14; 48:22; 58:6; 59:22; 60:15; 74:11; 85:2:88:19 factual 65:10; 85:22 Fair 78:20 fairly 11:13 Fant 14:1; 15:4: 73:13, 22: 74:7 far 18:22; 31:3; 48:15 February 25:5, 58:2; 59:5; 76:13; 81:18; 82:4, 20; 83:7; 85:5, 12, 86:16 federal 9:7; 60:8; 61:6; 64:5; 74:1, 9: 75:10 fee 22:3; 49:10, 14 feel 65:16:66:21, 22 feels 7-22 fees 18:9, 10, 11; 19:7; 20:17, 18; 22:4; 30:10, 12 feet 58:12 fellows 7:19:95:3 felt 11:15; 12:10; 94:16 few 86:5:87:12 field 37:12 file 22:7; 25:22: 26:5: 29:15.17; 31:1; 34:1, 2, 9. 12, 35:17, 18, 19, 36:5; 37:4; 38:7, 12, 13; 39:5; 40:3, 19; 41:13; 42:15; 44:22:59:19:78:4.8:79:8, 11; 81:19; 88:10, 17; 89:3, 14; 90:21; 91:14, 14 filed 23:5; 54:8; 56:15, 17, 10:10:65:13:66:3 2 (secution 20:8, 8, 9, BLOCK COURT REPORTING

foot 85:7 forget 73:3 forgotten 36:11:88:15 form 18:1; 20:12: 32:9: 39:6; 40:4, 7; 43:21; 45:21; 46:18:47:9:51:17:57:1. 14, 19; 58:5, 22; 59:6, 21; 61:9: 63:14: 65:1: 67:5, 13: 69:7, 21; 70:16; 71:5; 77:3; 78:17, 22, 79:13; 83:2, 9; 84:10, 21; 92:2; 98:2 formalities 5:9 forth 55:14 found 64:13:91:19 foundation 13:16; 18:2; 30:18; 32:20, 34:4, 11; 37:8; 43:22; 45:11; 47:2; 52:2, 10, 20; 53:18; 57:6. 11: 58:6, 13, 18, 21; 59:10, 21; 60:9; 68:11; 69:22: 71:6; 78:7; 89:15 foundational 60:22 Four 6:12 frame 60:12:63:22;78:13 Friday 79:9;81:21 front 21:15; 22:14; 31:11; 32:2. 5; 38:2; 39:7; 44:20: 64:8, 15; 82:2, 13

idea 8:12; 88:21 immoral 65:4 imposed 9:6 improper 8:1; 33:7; 65:3 in-person 16-20

inadvertent 30:4

94:19

RTING

77:11 .

(3) duty : Min. 71.

exact 37:12

exactly 18:17: 21:3:

26:10; 37:16; 42:12;

**EXAMINATION 4:8** 

63:20; 64:7; 71:10

examined 4:5

further 26:3; 67:15 3

32714;18:

issue 22:3

January 6:15; 94:16 Jersev 6:6

65:11; 66:2; 68:13; 74:4, 13; 77:13; 79:19; 84:2; 85:20; 87:6; 94:22 instructed 75.19 instructing 39:14:42:7, 9, 20: 75:22

instance 24:5; 49:4

instruct 29:11; 39:17;

47:12, 20; 50:5; 55:2; 56:1;

instruction 42:18:43:7 instructions 43-2 8 Intellectual 5:3 intended 27:1

intent 55:18 intentionally 32:15, 17 interested 12:16; 50:22

internal 36:12 interrupted 5:19 interview 27:21 into 6:12; 28:22; 30:8; . .

A CL Min-U-Script OCK COURT REPORTING (3) duty Min-U-S

67:1; 74:6, 15; 75:6

joined 6:17

ioining 76:1

joins 76:7

iudae 9:7

Karen 4:3

Kathy 15:9

keep 80:12:95:2, 4

keeping 10:12

letter's 82:17 letters 91:11 life 58:1 light 29:21

95.7

21

letter 56:13: 91:8. 9

likelihood 15:9; 92:5;

LIPMAN 5:19; 7:6; 9:17;

11:8; 13:15; 16:7; 18:1;

22:12, 20; 23:12, 17, 20;

9, 20; 34:2, 4, 10; 35:18;

36:6, 37:8, 15, 38.9, 20:

39:6. 16: 40:21: 41:2 6

21; 45:1, 4, 11; 46:18;

14: 50:3, 11: 51:8, 17:

17:2.8.17; 18:5, 9; 19:3,

52:5, 11, 19; 53:18; 54:2.

21; 55:6, 12, 16, 20; 57:1.

59:9, 14, 21; 60:9, 15, 18;

14, 17; 64:12; 65:1, 8, 21:

70:16; 71:5, 18; 72:4, 20;

73:9, 14: 74:2, 11: 75:6

22; 76:20; 77:3, 10; 78:1,

6, 17, 21; 79:13; 80:6, 12:

21; 85:9, 18; 86:6, 11, 21;

87:14; 88:4, 19; 89:5, 15,

21; 91:16, 92:2, 9, 16, 20;

93:6, 10; 7:11: 19; 95:6

litigation 7:14; 16:22;

49.2, 11, 20; 50:2, 17;

51:6, 16; 52:9; 53:7, 8

long 5:19; 7:1: 9:7: 56:7

look 17:13; 18:5, 7, 14;

25:2:34:12;43:18;45:1:

52:20, 76:15, 84:14,

looking 17:9; 31:19;

looks 43:19; 82:4

little 13:13:71:19

live 37:9

log 92:14

91:18, 21

72:11:91:7

lost 78:1

lot 9:19:87:8

logical 78:4

5, 11, 19; 58:13, 18, 21;

61:9. 20; 62:3, 21; 63:3.

66:8, 16, 21; 67:5, 15:

68:5, 17; 69:6, 9, 19;

24.9, 12, 22; 25:7, 17;

limited 85:12

lines 79:10

# K

kept 17:17 kind at a snew 10.11; 61:2; 65:18: 9:5; 70:14; 74:19; 75:5. 16:82:7 knowing 65:7 (nowledge 18:3; 48:1; 5:12:64:21:68:1:94:6 (50wn 78:16 (nows 11:9) **(UESTERS 4:1, 11, 12:** -3: 7:9; 16:7; 19:22; 20:1: :1:6, 8; 22:21; 26:7; 29:9. 4; 30:10, 15; 31:11; 2:21; 33:2, 20:40:18-3:1; 4:4:11; 48:3, 5; 52:11; 4:4, 13; 62:18; 65:3; 66:5. 11; 69:19; 70:13; 76.9;

# 9:2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13; 90:9; T.

icks 30:18; 34:11; 37:8; 5:22; 57:5, 11; 58:5, 13. 3, 21; 59:9; 78:7 ist 51:19; 79:1 ate 93:4 ster 20:10; 30:9 IW 5:1, 3, 4, 7, 22: 6:13. 1, 15; 25:8; 38:12; 93:7 IWSuit 9:6: 21:1: 47:20: 0:7; 62:8, 15, 20, 22; 5:2, 12, 21; 64:2, 3; 66:6. 1:71:7;72:1;74:7,21 wye: 8:8; 9:5; 52:20; 3:16; 65:5, 17; 76:10: 3:21:87:5:94:20 1.: yers 16:17: 33:2: 7:16:76:10 ad 23:22; 24:4; 26:20; arn 61:11, 22:62:5 arned 61:10, 19; 63:21;

:6, 14; 69:13; 71:10

ave 9:1; 10:3; 79:6;

3 49ab 3018 38 61253:19

67.7;68.1;75.2,6,78.10,

1446:16:92:1-41:

5:4:87:11

2 d 58:9

53:2.3 likely 68:19; 69:2; 71:15. 75:10 93:22 iine 22:17; 74:17; 86:2, 13 11:2, 3; 33:16; 37:3; 38:3; 26:6, 12: 72:12: 76:13: 50:1:95:11 19:22, 20:12, 21: 21:7, 10: Maryland 4:13, 14; 6:14, 26:6, 22; 27:6, 10; 28:1, 7; material 11:20 29:7; 30:18, 20; 31:5; 32:1, materials 88:17; 89:14; 90.20, 51:14, 14 maiter 25:8: 47:16: 64.16:87:18 20: 42:4, 12:18:22:43:12 matters 63:11; 65:19; 76:15 may 7:20; 8:3, 4, 11; 9:1; 10:22; 15:16; 16:20; 18:3; 19:9; 23:9; 39:21: 41:6: 50:11; 51:1, 13: 73:1, 18: 76:20; 80:19; 81:2; 83:12; 88:9; 91:10; 98:21 maybe 52:15 ngean 11:7; 22:14: 25:7: 43:5; 49:4, 14; 50:13: 52:22; 53:6; 55:10; 61:15; 63:3, 4, 5 meaning 62:20 means 11:10:88:22 82:14, 22; 83:9, 19; 84:10, mechanically 28:6 medical 58:12 mcet 50:13 nee!ings 29:14: 52:6 member 5:4:6:21:7-2 memo 82:8 memoranda 45:6 memorandum 13:8: 14:3; 76:14; 78:15; 81:18; 82:3 memory 26:9: 39:21, 22; 43.13 menial 29:8; 83:20 marely 93:11 frat 50:9; 51:4, 15, 15, 19; 52-1 method 19:7 Michael 28:15: 29:1: 39:1; 42:16; 62:6; 63:9: 71:10; 72:19 mid 26:13 might 10:2, 2; 23:1;

# M

making 34:16; 45:18: 90:8: 91:12 malpractice 53:10, 14; 331 Mike's 79:3

60:7:64:6:74:1 mind 44:18 manner 20:2 minute 12:13; 19:22; Manning 17:3, 9; 49:16; 88:20 minutes 86:5, 6:87:13 many 44:16: 50:20: missed 58:2; 59:4, 19:

51:15, 19, 22; 52:8, 21; 85:5 mistaken 72:12 March 36:19; 74:8, 21; mistakes 45:8, 10 m \*fgate 70:11 mark 31:15, 17; 33:9, 10; Malvin 54 10 morgant 16.8, 72:5 marked 5:16, 16, 17, 17;

moral 68-9 more 13:13:19:1:68:18: 69:3:71:15. 21:79:4:86:2 12; 37:8, 13 moining 10:19 move 80:22:86:3 much 7:10: 9:21

multiple 24:22

must 98:8

N name 4:10 nature 13:9; 62:14; 92:3 naught 25:16 necessarily 25:7 neccssary 30:13:94:16 need 5:14; 8:12; 9:1, 12. 15: 11:2, 2; 43:7; 84:11: 86:4, 9; 87:11; 93:19 needs 5:16, 17; 79:8; 81.20 Nevertheless 28:7; 39:9 New 6:6, 6; 9:22; 26:20; 27:1; 60:8; 61:6; 74:1, 9; 75:10 Newman 14:2, 14; 35:8; 43:10, 18, 19; 44:10, 13, 15, 17; 47:15; 51:13; 53-15: 54:6. 18. 19: 55:5-56:14, 22; 57 3, 8, 9, 17; 58.9. 60:21; 61:4; 62:8; 63:14, 64:1, 5, 11, 11, 19; 66;7, 13; 69:3, 11; 70:2, 20:71:3, 13:73:22:74:8 21; 75:10; 76:14, 80:9, 17; 81:6, 9; 85:5; 90:15, 18; 91:4:9, 10, 12 Newman's 51:12; 58:1; 78:13:80:2; 84:19; 85:1 next 7:18; 9:9; 37:1; 81:12 night 6:13 nonetheless 25:9 nonsensical 8:10

noon 8:22; 10:12

notes 13:8; 14:3; 45:6;

"howinstanding 30.5

Notary 4:3: 98:9

noticed 13:20

nots 71:19

Note 98-2

46:17

28:11; 33:12; 51:2; 56:8;

79:17, 17, 83:21, 22, 87:4,

68:22; 70:4, 7; 73:19;

5: 88:10; 91:1; 92:5, 7

Mike 44:21; 45:7

number 14:22; 21:19; 22:1, 7, 9; 23:1, 4; 24:17; 25:22, 31:16; 33:21; 36:13; 37:4; 53:3; 64:17; 95:12 numbers 22:22; 33:17; 34:12

# numerous 44:19 0

object 8:2; 10:5, 13:15;

25:1; 29:7 39:10; 40:7; 47:4; 43:21; 45:21; 47:9, 10; 48:12, 17, 51:17, 53:19: 59:6: 62:5: 67:13: 69:21:77:3, 10; 78:6; 79:13; 83:2, 9, 19; 86:3; 87:9; 89:21; 92:2 objected 25:18; 78:21; 27:21 objecting 10:12: 52:3 objection 8-2:18:1: 20:12; 23:12, 17; 24:9, 11; 25:17: 26:6, 17, 22; 28:8, 19: 30:18; 32:9, 20; 34:4, 10: 36:6: 37:8. 15: 38:9.

20, 39:6; 40:4, 21: 42:19: 41:1; 45:11; 46:18; 47:2.8. 17, 48:10, 13, 18, 49:8; 50:3, 7; 53:18; 54:21; 55:6; 57:1, 5, 11, 19; 58:5, 13, 18, 21; 59:14, 21; 60:9, 10, 15; 61:9; 62:3, 16; 63:14; 65:1, 8, 21; 66:16, 17, 19; 67:5. 9, 15; 68:5; 69:6, 7; 70:16:71:5.18:72:20: 75:9, 14, 20; 74:2, 11, 15: 75:2. 12; 78:17; 83:17; 84:10, 21; 85:9, 18, 86:21; 88:19, 22; 89:15; 94:10, 19 objections 65:11, 14; 66:4; 68:13; 87:15, 17; 93:2 objects 76:6

Oblon 4:17, 19;6:17, 18; 48.6 obtained 54:5.6

obtaining 29:16 Chviously 30:9; 39:1; 90:11 occur 37:6

ecurred 47:1:51:11 off 5:21; 23:19; 26:4; 31:5.

office 23:5; 24:4; 27:3, 9; 54:8; 58:1, 3, 16; 59:20; 79:9; 81:2, 3, 21; 83:7; 86:15, 18; 87:3; 94:17 official 37:13 once 36:13, 13 one 11:8:15:13:17:21:

18:22; 19:1, 3, 4; 21:14; 22:22, 24:1; 30:9; 35:4, 8, 0 12, 44, 7, 49, 5, 54, 12, 56.7, 9, 26, 63, 13, 64.6, 22; 2 67-7-68-4-76-2-6:78-10, SUC 1 'S' 37:71 precise 13:14

prepare 29:5

predecessor 15:19

preliminary 36:16

preparation 25:11

preparing 63:22; 78:10:

81:16; 82:2, 12, 17; 83:4

refresh 39:22:44:5

regarding 74:18: 75:16

removed 11:5:32:7

reporter 16:13:31:17:

representation 47:1

representing 5:22; 7:18,

request 11:13, 13; 56:14;

renew 84:15

33:10

19:93:7

92:13

repeat 22:9: 54:1

represent 48:16

requested 11:20

requests 66:20

require 98:12

requires 78:5

reread 84:11

reserve 10:7

reside 4:12

respect 15:1

respects 89:19

response 10:19:31:19:

70:6; 88:7, 16; 89:18, 19

responsive 89:11; 90:4.

Mi

responsibility 87:2

responsible 37:10

reserving 10:16

refreshing 40:10

refusal 55:15

refused 52:6

DAVID NEWMAN	&	DAVID NEWMAN	&	ASSOC., P.C.
			_	

22;7:2

	NI.
11; 80:2, 13, 18; 81:1, 5,	partner 6:19,
13; 82:2; 83:1, 1; 84:7, 15;	
13,02,2,03,1,1,04.7,13;	party 93:11
86:2, 10, 12; 90:13; 92:20	
	pass 86:17
only 7:15; 9:18; 11:1:	1

18:2, 22; 19:3; 22:1; 33:11:

past 87:10 Patent 5:1, 4; 8:7; 17:20; 35:4; 80:13; 91:3, 8

18:19; 19:1, 2, 5; 20:8, 10; open 10:4, 13 21:16, 17; 23:5, 11, 15, 16: opened 38:8, 14 24:1, 4, 4, 17; 26:21; 27:1. opinion 47:14; 65:9, 22: 3, 9; 29:3; 30:17; 34:21; 68:9; 84:1; 85:19; 86:22; 87:3 opinions 86:1

35:2, 4, 14; 36:15, 17; 37:9. 12; 47:16; 49:12; 53:12, 16; 54:8; 56:18; 58:3: 59:20: 79:5. 9:81:3 opportunity 68:2 21; 82:6, 21: 83:7, 7: 84:5: opposed 17:20:18:3: 85:4; 86:15, 18; 87:2: 49:12, 20; 54:13; 75:17

94:14, 17 opt 76.7, 12 patents 20:11; 21:17; optimistic 25:13 49:21 option 10:3 pattern 23:10 order 5:11, 13; 29:5; pause 73:19 47.22 payment 22-3 ordinary 16:11 PC 4:19

16

petition 34:19:39:4:

13; 81:12, 17; 82:12;

84:16; 90:16

Ph.D 94:1

21;64:22

55:21;83:14

pieces 12:4

Pierce 15:13

98:4.16

Pigman 15:19

place 9:22: 98:17

plaintiff's 56:6

point 20:2: 37:11, 14:

portions 29:15; 39:4;

40:3, 19; 41:13; 42:15

position 10:15:15:10

possession 86:13:94:14

57:21; 58:8; 90:22

pointed 21:7

please 4:10; 8:9, 11: 20:1.

3; 28:9; 50:21; 76:15; 94:1:

pick 88:12

40:2, 18: 41:12: 42:15:

43:11: 56:16: 78:14: 80:1

phone 9:16; 10:13; 52:6.

phrased 47:12:48:19:

original 98:10, 17, 18, 21 people 14:22; 28:17 Orleans 9:22; 60:8; 61:6; per 22:2 74:1, 9: 75:11 perhaps 91:1 others 14:2:49:7:94:22 Perilli 54:18 otherwise 10:16; 11:17; person 50:15; 65:4, 19: 76:11; 98:7 76:6 7 out 5:9; 11:12, 14, 21; personal 68:20:89:8 12:5; 17:18; 18:6, 14; 19:6; personally 18:2;65:15,

20:15, 19; 21:5, 11, 12; 22:21; 23:2; 28:5; 32:15. 16, 17; 34:13; 35:17; 36:9. 20; 37:2; 46:12; 48:22; 64:12; 67:20; 68:15, 18, 20; 73:2; 76:7, 12; 79:6; 90:10, 22:91:22 outside 9:22: 16:21

over 31:2: 43:14: 44:12: 86:5 own 93:15 owned 93:12 owner 93:14, 15

P

P-14 76:13, 83:11, 13 P-58 56:12.14:80:11: 82:13, 17; 83:4; 84:4; 86:14; 90:15, 16 P-59 56:12, 13; 81:1; 82:3 P.C 6:18

p.m 8:22 page 38:16; 64:13; 98:11, 16.20 pages 98:18 paid 49:10, 16, 18

paper 12:5 paragraph 79:2, 2 parenthesis 79:7 part 23:6; 24:7; 54:5, 9, 10; 87:1; 90:21 particular 17:20; 45:14;

parties 18:17, 98:17

53:17: 57:10

possible 8:7, 10; 55:18; 66:11, 18; 67:20; 70:9, 14; 71:15; 79:16; 91:13, 20 possibly 20:5; 88:11 practice 4:17: 14:3: 67:11-

positively 63:9

possessed 93:12

present 93:15 presume 87:9 pretrial 47:22 pretty 87:19 previous 75:15:82:14 previously 13:20; 17:14;

33:8; 56:12 Primarily 20:8 prior 22:5; 35:9; 56:12: 60:13; 61:3; 64:22; 74:16; 77:19: 78:14: 86:14: 90:20; 94:9, 15 privilege 11:16, 21; 30:2, 6, 6; 62:16; 92:19, 21; 93:13.14

privileged 12:10; 20:5; 28:2, 10: 29:10, 19; 32:7; 42:6; 47:11, 19; 50:4; 55:1, raised 28:11 5, 22; 56:4; 62:10, 13, 17; 74:3, 12: 75:3, 13, 14: raising 44:2 77:12, 15; 79:18; 92:15; rather 87:10 93-18 re 34:19; 76:14 probably 14:12:17:12: 26:13: 28:20: 47:21: 90:9 problem 5:18; 9:10, 18; 40:12; 58:12; 65:15, 16:

79:7; 80:7, 14; 81:19; 93:20 problems 58:16:85:6 process 34:13: 52:16: 98:13 processes 29:8; 39:12: 12:7: 83:20. 21 produce 11:19 produceable 12:11

produced 5:15:•10:19: 11:6.6.17:12:9:17:14: 20:16; 22:17; 30:4; 31:18; 88:8; 89:14; 90:11; 91:2, 15 producing 31:12: 32:8: 93:18 product 20:5; 28:3, 10; 29:11; 39:11: 42:6: 47:11:

19; 50:4; 55:1, 22; 74:3, 12; 77:12, 16; 79:19; 93:19 production 12:4; 13:1, 71:10, 13; 74:18 20: 30:5 reconsider 29:21 professional 87:2 progress 34:16 project 29:2

> 15:93:16 recordations 14:13

June 20, 1997 records 16:4

10: 21:16, 22: 22:2: 36:14: 63:22 redacted 11:6, 10 provide 62:19 redaction 12:6 provided 5:12, 12; 12:19; redactions 31:3 19:17, 20; 33:8; 51:4; refer 37:21: 39:21: 40:7 79:10; 92:14; 98:5 reference 21:18; 34:17, provision 5:13 18 provisions 5.10

referenced 26:1;35:20 PTO 81:4;90:19, 20 references 13:22: 67:14: PTO's 29:15; 39:4; 40:2. 94:18 18: 41:12: 42:15 referring 22:12, 15, 16, Public 4:3; 90:21; 98:9 19; 24:19; 41:9; 59:7, 12; purposes 81:11 79:1.3 put 32:18; 45:13; 46:15 refers 23:3: 26:2 reflect 44:11; 64:9 reflected 36:3 reflects 73:8

auestion's 83:12, 14 questioner 69:20 quickly 86:4 quite 8:7, 10 R

relate 20:19; 21:2, 15, 16; 79:15 related 30:13 relating 87:1, 8 relation 63-21 relative 47:19:79:17 relied 85:1 read 7:4; 39:8; 41:7, 14; remaining 88:4 54:3: 76:15, 19; 77:1, 4; remember 22:21:44:9

94:1, 2, 5; 98:1 reading 41:7; 83:10 really 43:7:86:8 reason 8:1; 10:14; 11:16; 12:11; 86:17; 98:3 reasonable 30:13:89:11: 90:3, 6, 10; 92:7, 10

reasonably 89:11 reasons 32:15;66:1; recall 14:10; 15:14; 17:7: 25:3; 26:10; 37:16; 38:22; 44:12, 16; 57:15, 16: 61:13; 63:20, 20; 64:7;

receipt 98:13, 15, 20 receive 98:19 received 29:13;81:2 Recessed 72:8 recollection 13:12; 36:2; 38:18; 39:9; 40:1, 6, 10; 44:3, 5, 14: 67:19: 68:16:

reconsideration 56:14 Reconvened 72:9 record 5:21; 14:4; 15:15: 16:19; 17:5; 23:19; 31:5, 8; 34:8; 35:16; 36:17; 44:10; 46:16; 63:17; 66:5; 69:10,

CATTER STATES

rest 88:16

restate 83:3 cresubmit 39:4 recorded 45:19:46:3, 22 result 29:11;84:1;94:22

5:92:6

promptly 98:12

proper 30:12

property 5:3

pronounce 4:18

properly 55:21;69:1

Robert 15:20 role 47:5: 49:1: 51:6 rule 67:22 rules 68:11; 98:12

run 49:7 rutining 73:2 Rutgers 6:5, 6

same 16:15; 23:10, 15, 17; 28:7, 8; 37:22; 42:18. 18; 56:7, 9; 62:16; 68:13: 71:15:75:2.12 Sanderford 14:2; 15.3; 75:5, 21; 74:20 Sanderford's 15:11 saying 55:17 Schilling 94:1 school 5:7; 6:13, 14, 15 scope 66:1; 68:12 se 22:3 second 27:6; 31:6; 83:1; 36:10 secretary 15:12 section 94/21 seek 65:17

998king:53:2419:65:5

19:68:9 seeks 28:2; 53:19:65:9. 22; 69:9; 71:18; 74:3, 12; 75:12:79:18:84:1:85:19: 86:22: 87:3: 94:20 seem 80:6 seems 78:4 sees 91:8 segregated 19:8 segregating 17:19, 19 Seidom 7:15 send 50:1 senior 6:11 sent 11:13: 19:6, 15 sentences 79:15 served 8:16 services 50:2 set 8:21; 17:16; 13:16; 81:12:87:5 SEVEN GO several 80:5, 9:84:4 sheet 98:3, 4, 7, 10, 16, sheets 46:16 short 36:15 shorthand 81:11 show 33:12:56:4.11: 57:22; 73:12; 76:12; 93:21 shown 35:12 sign 7:4; 98:4, 8 signature 98:10, 14, 16, 20, 21 signed 57:10; 60:19, 21; 70:3, 81:6; 98:15, 18, 19 signing 60:13:98:5 simply 67:11, 12; 68:2, 3; 78:3 sitting 14:11; 36:2; 39:20; 40:1, 5; 63:8; 67:19: 91:6: 92:4 situation 83:22 SN 49:3 SN07/782.845 40:20: 41:13:42:16 somebody 7:22, 77:4 someone 65:17 sometime 9:8: 61:22 sometimes 9:19; 32:13; 38:14 sorry 22:9; 52:3; 59:16; 63:16; 64:4; 72:6; 77:17; 82:16:91:10 sort 51:14 sounds 76:8 spaces 98:5 speak 16:15; 52:13, 21 speaking 14:19 speaks 40:22; 54:22; 57:6; 59:15; 72:21; 73:10, 15; 84:22; 85:10

specialty 4:22

specifically 30:14:79:1

specification 20:18 speculate 15:9 speculation 26:22; 66:20; 67:8; 69:9; 71:18;

speculative 25:17; 70:17, 85:19, 86:21, 94:20 speed 3 1:13; 52:16. Solvak 1:18, 19; 6:17; 18.6

spoke 14:22; 15:6, 13; 7:2; 52:15; 73:12; 74:22; spoken 49:5; 52:8, 18; 55:1, 5: 73 4 stack 20:16; 22:12, 15; 26:1; 32:8; 38:2; 73:1

staff 58:16; 85:7 stamp 81:4 stamped 81:1 stand 30:6 standard 47:15

stands 49.8 start 5.8; 90:13 started 22:17 utarting 6:10 state 4:10; 6:5; 44:4

statement 12:3:79:3 states 82:4 stating 81:19:82:8 step 49.5 Sleve 9:17; 73:13

still 5:17; 51:18 stipulation 76:6 Stone 15:19 stop 9:10; 95:4, 4, 7 straight 69:11, 70:20

strictly 41:9 Sirike 73:2:78:11 structure 6:20; 18:16 subject 5:10, 13:65:6: 87:17; 98:6 submit 95:15, 16

submitting 86:14 subpoena 8:16, 20; 10 18, 20; 31:19; 49:15; 66:1: 68:12: 85:21: 86:10: 87:12; 88:7, 17; 89:1, 6;

subroaraed 48:20: 65-10 suppoenas 89:17

90.5

substance 14:4; 20:4; 28:10, 21; 51:5; 72:17; 73:6, 18, 75:17, 77:8, 19; 78:15; 94:8; 98:2 substantiate 85:2

substantive 21:22 sued 61:5, 8, 10, 16, 17, 22; 64:20; 65:6, 7, 18; 69:5, 5; 70:4, 7, 14, 15; 73:22; 75:9

suggest 90:22

suit 15:18:64:4, 21: 69:13; 70:12; 71:11, 14, 16 suite 79:5 summer 10:1 supplement 90:7 supplying 58:3 support 7:15:84:19 supposed 60:20 Sure 10:9; 11:9; 12:14. 15; 17:11, 15, 10:10, 13; 40:5; 45:18; 46:4, 8; 47:21: 48:2: 12; 51:18, 55:18: 59:11, 67:14; P-4:14; 90:12.92:16 suspect 7:20: /3:19 switch-over 37:17

system\$ 38:12, 12 T

System 34:18; 58:20

sworn 4:2

Talk 50:15; 64:1; 80:14; 87:13 talked 9:14; 38:22; 51:3; 57:9: 63:9, 10; 69:3; 71:3. talking 18:10, 20; 28:16; 31:14; 35:20; 37:5; 54:12; 57:16; 60:4, 5; 61:4; 65:4: 70:2:78:19:80:2, 3, 11, 22; 81:11, 13; 94:14

technical 8-8 telephone 10:5; 13:6; 14:13; 16:19; 50:15; 52:9, 13: 53:4: 64:10 telling 71:14; 91:7, 8 tells 25:19:76:10 ten 95:3

term 37:12 terms \$:10:36:14 testified 4-5 testimony 14:12:65:10: 75:16:85:22:94:20 thereafter 6:8

therefore 14:16 third 25:22; 44:4: 67:16; 93:11 thought 39:12:42:6:

70:10:83:21 thoughts 29:9 three 44:6:71:19 times 32:14: 50:20: 51:15, 19; 52:1, 8, 22;

53:2, 3:60:5 title 6:21, 22 today 8:22; 22:18: 31:12: 32:8; 36:21; 39:20: 40:1.6:

49:12, 20; 50:18; 63:8; 64:6:77:19; 80:4: 82:5: 92:5: 94:3. 9

70:9, 10, 13, 19

told 8:3, 5; 9:1: 16:10: 33:1; 51:13; 58:9; 69:12;

total 12-8 trademark 87:3 transcript 98:6, 14, 18, 21 tried 11:19 true 17:4; 29:4; 69:14;

try 9:17:17:18:37:2: 40:16; 45:9, 12; 46:8, 10; 69:1; 70:10, 80:22 trying 11:12, 14: 18:6, 14: 20:15, 19: 21:5; 22:20; 23:2; 28:5; 32:16; 24:15; 37.19; 48:22; 57:20:86:8; 90.10; 91:22 turn 43:14 turned 22:22; 31:2; 44:11

two 15:21; 40:11, 14; T

71:19; 79:9, 15

ultimately 29:2 unavoidability 84:8 unavoidable 84:8, 16; unclear 60:11 unconfuse 40:16

under 5:11; 21:18; 22:1; 35:22; 84:17; 87:5 unethical 65:4 unfriendly 55:10 unintentionally 79:6 university 6:6, 13

unless 10:13: 25:19: 48:14; 76:7, 11, 12; 77:14. 14: 80:1: 98:7 unredacted 29:13 up 6:15; 8:21; 9:21; 18:16; 21.20; 31:15; 52:16; 80:3;

upon 25:8, 8; 39.7; 43:13; 65:6, 11:68:6, 10; 79:18; 83:22: 85:21; 87:15, 17; 89:9:98:15

USDIN 5:8; 9:14; 10:7, 10; 11:1; 12:13; 15:15, 21; 21:9: 24:11, 19: 26:17: 28:19; 30:1, 22; 40:4, 11: 44:1; 45:21; 48:13, 18; 50:7; \$4:19, 12; 56:7; 58:5; 59:6; 60:10; 61:1; 62:9, 13, 16:65:13.66:3.17.19 67:13; 69:7; 71:7; 74:6, 15: 75:2, 12, 21; 76:8; 82:7; 83:2, 17; 87:22

use 56:8; 88:4 used 84:18 using 91:5

vague 23:12: 24:9: 26:6. 17: 34:4; 10: 36:6: 38:9:

47:8; 59:22; 62:3; 63:14: 20:13: 21:1, 13: 22:15: 84:21; 85:9; 94:10 23:14; 24:21; 25:1, 5; 27:8; various 11:13; 57:22; 60:5:85:6 28:4, 13; 30:7; 31:1, 10; version 57:10 33:19; 34:3, 7; 35:19; view 42:14; 51:12; 68:9; 39:14, 19; 40:9, 15; 41:1, 74:16; 75:4, 15 4; 42:9, 13, 20; 43:16; violate 86:18 violates 47:21 44:8; 45:3; 46:1; 48:2, 7, Virginia 4:5, 20 10, 16, 21: 49:9, 17: 50:8. voice 68:5 14; 51:10, 21; 52:17; 53:1. voiced 55:6 21; 54:11, 15; 55:4; 8, 13, voir 90:2 19: 56:8, 10: 60:17: 42:11: W 63:1, 5, 7; 68:15; 71:12; 72:6, 10: 75:19: 76:5: 77:6: 78:3; 79:21; 80:16, 20; wait 52:11:61:20:91:16 waiting 79:3 82:9: 86:8; 87:19; 88:2, 21; waived 29:20; 98:14, 22 90:8; 92:13, 18; 93:1, 4, 9; waiver 30:1, 3, 6 95:2.9 wants 43:18 Woodbine 4:13, 14 Washington 9:21:51:1 waste 87:11 words 17:17; 27:20; way 5:9; 12:21; 13:16; 28:17 14:3; 19:6; 38:6; 52:22; 55:11:63:13:66:22: work 6:8; 17:20; 19:8, 10, 68:12, 12; 69:1; 76:2; 13, 16, 19; 20;5; 24;3, 15; 83:13;88:5 25:15; 26:5, 10, 14; 27:13, weather 51:1 week 64:22:69:12.15 16, 19, 19; 28:2, 10; 29:6. weren't 69:22; 70:21 11; 35:11; 36:3; 39:11: Westinghouse 6:8, 9, 42:6; 47:11, 19; 49:12, 12, 12, 17 21; 50:4; 55:1, 22; 74:3. what's 11:5, 6: 18:11: 35:20; 38:16; 56:11; 12; 77:12, 15; 79:19; 93:18 76:13:77:1, 17; 92:1; worked 6:9; 19:3, 5; 35:5 93-16 who's 7:18: 92:19 working 29:2 whole 12:8 works 7:21 whose 59:11 worst 9:22 willing 86:7 Wireless 34:18 wrapper 29:17 wish 39:22 writing 14:4 withheld 92:15 written 14:12; 16:4, 18; withhold 14:17 within 4:4: 9:8: 11:15: 17:5; 45:6 19:16:98:13.20 wrong 33:6; 80:19, 21 without 65:6:69:4 witness 5:22; 7:7; 10:6; 11:9; 12:18; 22:14; 23:1; Y 25:3; 28:8, 12; 29:12; 34:6; 35:22; 39:13; 42:7; 47:12, 20; 48:19, 20; 50:5, 13; 55:2: 56:1: 60:20: 61:1: vear 94:16 63:6: 65:9, 11: 66:2: 67:17. vears 6:9, 12 18, 21; 68:10, 13; 70:1; 71:9; 74:5, 13, 18, 19; Young 4:3 75:5, 16; 77:7, 13; 78:8; 79:19: 80:19: 83:10: 84:2: 85:20, 22; 87:4, 6; 90:2; z 92:8, 12; 93:7; 94:22; 98:1 WOLBRETTE 4:9: 5:18: 6:2; 7:8; 10:9, 15, 17; 11:4. 11; 12:15, 20; 13:18; 16:9; zero 52:18

معامرة فالجياء

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

8
☐ BLACK BORDERS
$\square$ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
$\square$ faded text or drawing
lacktriangled blurred or illegible text or drawing
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
$\square$ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
$\square$ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
$\square$ reference(s) or exhibit(s) submitted are poor quality
☐ OTHER:

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.